Many-body Landau-Zener transition in cold-atom double-well optical lattices
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Ultracold atoms in optical lattices provide an ideal platform for exploring many-body physics of a large system arising from the coupling among a series of small identical systems whose few-body dynamics is exactly solvable. Using Landau-Zener (LZ) transition of bosonic atoms in double-well optical lattices as an experimentally realizable model, we investigate such few- to many-body routes by exploring the relation and difference between the small few-body (in one double well) and the large many-body (in double-well lattice) nonequilibrium dynamics of cold atoms in optical lattices. We find the many-body coupling between double wells greatly enhances the LZ transition probability. The many-body dynamics in the double-well lattice shares both similarity and difference from the few-body dynamics in one and two double wells. The sign of the on-site interaction plays a significant role in the many-body LZ transition. Various experimental signatures of the many-body LZ transition, including atom density, momentum distribution, and density-density correlation, are obtained.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Understanding many-body physics in strongly correlated lattice models is essential for the explanation of many important condensed matter phenomena, such as high temperature cuprate superconductivity [1]. In this context, ultracold atoms in optical lattices provide an ideal platform for emulating numerous phenomena in solids because of their ability to accurately implement various lattice models without impurities, lattice phonons, and other complications [2–6]. In solids, a large many-body system may be composed of a series of small identical few-body systems, therefore it would be natural and interesting to investigate how many-body properties (e.g., correlations) of the large system emerge or differ from the few-body properties of the small systems [see Fig. 1(a) for an illustration] [7]. Although such few- to many-body routes may provide a unique angle for understanding the underlying many-body physics, its experimental realization is very challenging in solids. In contrast, such routes may be easily explored using the recent experimentally realized cold-atom double-well optical lattices [8–10], where the few-body dynamics in each double well can be solved exactly, while the many-body physics emerges from the interwell coupling.

The double-well lattices not only allow studying interesting many-body ground states [11–16], but also the equilibrium and nonequilibrium dynamics of cold atoms after an adiabatic or sudden change of the atom or lattice parameters [17,18]. Recently, the nonequilibrium dynamics in optical lattices after a sudden quench has been investigated intensively [18–24]. While the dynamics for the adiabatic process is expected to follow the change of the system Hamiltonian, the goal for studying the quench dynamics is to understand the nonequilibrium physics and the relaxation to the equilibrium steady states in the presence of many-body interactions. Although the two limiting cases (adiabatic or sudden) have been widely studied, the intermediate region, that is, the parameter variation with a finite rate, has been largely unexplored [25–28].

In this paper, we integrate these two important aspects (i.e., route from few- to many-body physics and nonequilibrium dynamics) for cold-atom optical lattices into one simple, but experimentally feasible model: the many-body Landau-Zener (LZ) transition in a one-dimensional (1D) double-well optical lattice. In the LZ transition, the parameters of the Hamiltonian vary with a finite rate (neither adiabatic nor sudden), and the dynamics is naturally nonequilibrium. Furthermore, the dynamics of a single atom in an isolated double well, a classical example of the LZ transition [29], is exactly solvable. Recently, the LZ transition has been generalized (both theoretically and experimentally) to a BEC in a double well (with ~100 atoms per double well), where the dynamics is governed by the mean-field nonlinear interaction [30–33] and the research focuses on the emergence of the loop structure in the energy spectrum and the invalidity of the adiabaticity.

In this paper we investigate the many-body LZ transition in a double-well optical lattice to explore the route from few-body to many-body nonequilibrium dynamics. We mainly focus on the following issues: (i) the LZ transition for a few interacting atoms (in contrast to hundreds of atoms in the mean-field region [30–32]) in an isolated double well (i.e., without interwell coupling); and (ii) the collective LZ transition of many atoms in the double-well lattice with the interwell coupling, which has not been explored previously in the literature. Our goal is to explore the difference as well as the relation between the few-body physics in (i) and the many-body physics in (ii). We find that the on-site interaction $U$ (repulsive or attractive) between atoms can strongly modify the LZ transition in (i). For the repulsive interaction, there is an oscillation of the LZ probability with respect to $U$. In the large $U$ limit, we derive an analytical expression for the LZ transition probability using the independent crossing approximation. The interwell tunneling that couples different double wells can significantly increase the LZ transition probability. While a certain feature of the LZ transition process in a single double well is still kept in the double-well optical lattice, the coherent
oscillation of the transition probability in the positive $U$ region is destroyed by the many-body tunneling between double wells. We show the signature of the many-body LZ transition in various experimentally measurable quantities such as the atom density and momentum distributions, and the density-density correlation.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II we discuss the theoretical model of the experimentally realized double-well optical lattice. Then we study the few-body dynamics of the LZ transition in a single double well in Sec. III. We extend the dynamics of the LZ transition to a coupled double-well lattice in Sec. IV by switching on the interwell coupling. In Sec. V we discuss possible experimental signatures of many-body LZ transitions. Section VI is a summary.

II. THE HAMILTONIAN

The 1D double-well lattice, schematically shown in Fig. 1(b), has been realized in many experiments by superimposing two optical lattices with different wavelengths [8–12]. The dynamics along the other two dimensions is frozen to the ground states using optical lattices with high lattice potential depths. Within the tight-binding approximation, the dynamics of atoms in the 1D double-well lattice is described by the Bose-Hubbard model [5]

$$
H = \sum_i (J a_{i+1}^\dagger a_i + J' a_i^\dagger a_{i+1} + \text{c.c.}) + \sum_i (-1)^{i+1} \Delta n_i + U n_i (n_i - 1),
$$

(1)

where $a_i$ ($a_i^\dagger$) are the annihilation (creation) operators for bosons at the lattice site $i$, $n_i = a_i^\dagger a_i$, $J$ ($J'$) represents the intrawell (interwell) tunneling, and $2\Delta = 2\gamma t$ is the chemical potential difference between two neighboring lattice sites in a single double well, which varies with the time $t$ with the sweeping rate $\gamma$. $U$ is the on-site interaction strength between atoms. In experiments [8–10], the inter- and intrawell tunnelings $J$ and $J'$ can be adjusted independently by careful control of the intensities of the two optical lattice laser beams, the chemical potential $\Delta$ can be tuned by shifting one laser beam with respect to the other, and the on-site interaction $U$ between atoms can be changed using the Feshbach resonance. Generally the interwell barrier [see Fig. 1(a)] is larger than the intrawell barrier, i.e., $J > J'$.

The time-dependent dynamics of atoms governed by the Hamiltonian (1) is solved numerically. Because the dimensionality of the Hamiltonian increases exponentially with respect to the lattice size, we simulate the dynamics of this system using the recently developed time-evolving block decimation (TEBD) algorithm [34–37] with an open boundary condition, which is a powerful tool for studying lattice dynamics in one dimension with only nearest-neighbor tunneling and interaction where the entanglement of the system is small. In our TEBD simulation, we choose the Schmidt number $\xi = 20$ with at most four atoms at each lattice site. We have confirmed the convergence of our numerical program for the parameters used in the paper by comparing the results with that using a larger Schmidt number. For a small lattice size, we also study the dynamics using the Runge-Kutta method by including all possible configurations and find excellent agreement with the TEBD method. Henceforth, the energy unit is chosen as the intrawell tunneling $J$, and the corresponding time unit is $\hbar J^{-1}$.

III. FEW-BODY DYNAMICS IN ONE DOUBLE WELL

For cold atoms in isolated double wells without interwell tunneling (i.e., $J' = 0$), the probability for the atoms remaining on the same state after they pass through the LZ transition regime is known to be $\exp(-\pi J^2/\gamma^2)$ [29] for $U = 0$. In the presence of the on-site interaction $U$ for several atoms in one double well, the LZ transition can be dramatically different because the interaction shifts the energy levels for atoms. For simplicity, we illustrate the essential physics using two atoms ($N = 2$) in one double well. Under the number state basis \{|$2_L,0_R$\>, |$1_L,1_R$\>, |$0_L,2_R$\>\}, the Hamiltonian can be written as

$$
H_2 = \begin{pmatrix}
2\gamma t + 2U & -\sqrt{2}J & 0 \\
-\sqrt{2}J & 0 & -\sqrt{2}J \\
0 & -\sqrt{2}J & -2\gamma t + 2U
\end{pmatrix},
$$

(2)

where $L$ ($R$) represents the left (right) well. The instantaneous eigenenergy levels at the time $t$ are plotted in Fig. 2. With the large $|U| \gg J$, the two large anticrossings at $t = 0$ with the gap $\sim J$ yield direct LZ transitions between two quantum states that differ by exactly one atom, while the tiny anticrossing at $t = 0$ with the gap $\sim J^2/U$ corresponds to the edge of the quantum state for a relatively small sweeping rate $\gamma$.

FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) Illustration of the route from the few-body physics in identical small systems to the many-body physics in a large system through coupling. (b) An example for (a): the LZ transition of cold atoms in 1D double-well optical lattices. The coupling between different double wells (the small systems) is provided by the interwell coupling $J'$.

FIG. 2. (Color online) Plot of the instantaneous energy levels in a single double well with two atoms. The level crossing depends strongly on the sign of $U$. The arrow indicates the time evolution of the quantum state for a relatively small sweeping rate $\gamma$. 013636-2
to the indirect second order transition process, which has a negligible contribution to the total LZ transition probability when the sweeping rate is faster than the time scale determined by this gap. Assuming initially the atoms are prepared on the ground state $|2\downarrow 0\uparrow\rangle$ for $t \to -\infty$, we see for $U > 0$, all atoms can be transferred from the left to the right well at $t \to +\infty$ when $\gamma$ is small [the arrow in Fig. 2(a)], while for $U \ll -J$ at most one atom can be transferred for a relatively slow sweeping rate $\gamma$ (but still fast enough such that the tiny gap at $t = 0$ can be neglected). For a very small sweeping rate $\gamma$ (thus the gap at $t = 0$ cannot be neglected), the dynamics is still adiabatic and all atoms can be transferred to the right well, as expected. For the atom number larger than two ($N > 2$), the physical picture shown in Fig. 2 is still similar. Generally, for $U \gg |J|$ observe $N$ different LZ transitions, while for $U \ll -J$ only one direct LZ transition can be found (hence at most one particle can be transferred from left to right if the sweeping rate is faster than the indirect transition gap). Finally, assuming the $i$th LZ transition occurs at the time $t_i$ where the anticrossing between $|i, N-i\rangle$ and $|j + 1, N - i - 1\rangle$ takes place, at time $t_i$, the two states have the same energy, that is, $U(i - 1) + U(N - i) + \gamma t_i(i - (N - i)) = U(i + 1) + U(N - (i + 1)) + \gamma t_i(i + 1) - (N - (i + 1))$, yielding $t_i = [(N - i)U - 2iU]/\gamma$. Therefore the time interval between two adjacent direct LZ transitions is exactly the same $\delta t = t_i - t_{i+1} = 2U/\gamma$.

The exact time-dependent dynamics of the Hamiltonian (2) is very complex and cannot be expressed using simple analytical equations. However, simple analytical expressions for the remaining number of atoms in the left well can be derived in a certain limit using the $S$-matrix method developed by Brundobler and Elser [38], which has been successfully applied to other similar models [39–49]. With the $S$-matrix method, we find

$$N_L = \begin{cases} 1 + e^{-2\pi J^2/\gamma} & \text{if } U \gg |J| \\ e^{-2\pi J^2/\gamma}(3 - e^{-2\pi J^2/\gamma}) & \text{if } U \ll -J \\ 2e^{-2\pi J^2/\gamma} & U = 0 \end{cases}$$

using the independent crossing approximation (ICA) [38] that is valid in these limits, as shown in Fig. 2. We have verified that the above analytical results agree well with our numerical results. For $U = 0$, the result reduces to the standard LZ formula [29], multiplied by the total number of atoms.

IV. MANY-BODY DYNAMICS IN DOUBLE-WELL LATTICE

Now we switch on the coupling $J'$ between double wells to investigate how $J'$ and $U$ influence the LZ transitions. To illustrate the essential physics in the large lattice, we first consider an isolated system with only two coupled double wells, each of which contains $N = 2$ atoms. Similar to the Hamiltonian (2) for a single double well, we rewrite the Hamiltonian (1) to a $35 \times 35$ matrix form under the number state basis $|i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4\rangle$, where $i_l = \{0,1,2,3,4\}$ represents the number of atoms at the site $l$ with the constraint $i_1 + i_2 + i_3 + i_4 = 4$. To gain insight into this problem, we plot the instantaneous energy levels for $U > 0$ in Fig. 3. We see the ICA [38] is invalid even for a very large $U$, therefore the analytical formula for the number of atoms in the left well cannot be derived anymore. The same physical picture holds when multiple double wells in the lattice are coupled by switching on the interwell coupling $J'$.

We numerically solve the dynamics of atoms in two double wells using the fourth-order Runge-Kutta method to obtain the exact dynamics using an open boundary condition. The quantum state of the system is initially prepared at $|2\downarrow 0\uparrow\rangle \otimes |2\downarrow 0\uparrow\rangle$ (the ground state at $t \to -\infty$), and the number of atoms in the third site $n_3$ for a large positive $t$ (effectively $t \to +\infty$), i.e., the number of atoms remaining in the left well, is calculated. Note that a small $n_3$ means a large LZ transition probability. In Fig. 4(a), we plot $n_3$ as a function of $J'$ with the finite $U$. $n_3$ decreases monotonically with the increasing $J'$ for all interaction regions, which indicates the LZ transition probability is enhanced by $J'$. This can be intuitively understood from the fact that when the interwell tunneling is switched on, the atoms in the third site can tunnel to not only the fourth site, but also the second site.

In Fig. 4(b), we plot $n_3$ with respect to $U$ for finite $J'$. We see a strong dependence of $n_3$ on the sign of $U$. For a large attractive $U$, $n_3$ is large and the LZ transition probability is small because atoms are bound together for tunneling by the large attractive interaction, as shown in Fig. 2(b). The interwell tunneling $J'$ barely modifies the transition probability, while in the repulsive $U$ region, $n_3$ decreases quickly with the increasing $U$, but saturates at the large $U$ limit. In the large $U$ region, $n_3$ oscillates periodically with $U$ even for $J' = 0$. Note that there are two direct LZ transitions for two atoms in a double well. After passing the minimum gap point of the first LZ transition, $n_3$ still oscillates periodically with time. Since the time interval between two adjacent direct LZ transitions is $\delta t = 2U/\gamma$, it is expected that $n_3$ before the second LZ transition may oscillate with $U$, leading to the final oscillation dependence of $n_3$ on $U$ at $t \to +\infty$. The nonzero $J'$ reduces $n_3$ significantly by enhancing the LZ transition probability. However, the positions of the peaks and valleys of the oscillation are the same for different $J'$. Note that
FIG. 4. (Color online) Plot of the atom number \( n_L \) in the third site versus (a) \( J'/J \) and (b) \( U \) for two coupled double wells from the Runge-Kutta simulation; \( \gamma = 2\pi \).

The many-body LZ transition can be observed using several different methods. (i) The number of atoms in the left or right wells of the lattice (e.g., \( n_L \) in Fig. 5) can be measured using the band structure of a virtual atomic system. Although the atom diffusion at the edge of the lattice is significant, the central part of the lattice can be a good approximation for that in an infinite large lattice \( M \to \infty \). We calculate the number of atoms \( n_L \) (at \( t \to +\infty \)) in the left site of the coupled double wells, which indicates the LZ transition significantly for the attractive interaction. For \( U > 0 \), \( n_L \) is generally smaller than 1 because of the reduced LZ transition probability for the large dimensionality of the lattice system, the fourth-order Runge-Kutta method (as well as any exact diagonalization method) is not practical. The initial wave function is assumed to be \( |0_L,0_R\rangle \otimes |0_L,0_R\rangle \otimes |0_L,0_R\rangle \otimes N_L \), where \( M \) is the number of occupied double wells. \( N_L \) (\( N_R \)) is the number of unoccupied double wells at the left (right) edge of the lattice to eliminate the effects of the open boundary condition. With the finite \( J' \), the atoms may diffuse to the left and right edges in the time scale \( \sim 1/J' \). For a relatively large \( \gamma \), such diffusion does not affect the LZ transition at the center of the lattice, as we show below. In the numerical simulation, we choose \( N_L = N_R = 3 \), which are sufficient for a wide range of \( \gamma \).

To check the effects of the atom diffusion on the LZ transition, we plot the number of atoms (at \( t \to +\infty \)) in each lattice site in Fig. 5(a) for \( \gamma = 2\pi \) and different \( M \). Although the atom diffusion at the edge of the lattice is significant, the atom number fluctuation in the central part of the lattice is generally very small. For instance, the difference between the final atom number at the center of the lattice is smaller than 0.002 for two different lattice sizes \( M = 23 \) and 31. Our numerical results show that when \( M > 20 \) the LZ transition in the central part of the lattice can be a good approximation for that in an infinite large lattice \( M \to \infty \).
We see there is only one LZ transition for distribution during the LZ transition in the central part of the moment distribution. neighboring site, there should be a change of the atom (a2), momentum distribution $n(k,t)$ [(b1), (b2)], and density-density correlation $s(k,t)$ [(c1), (c2)] from TEBD numerics; $J' = 0.5 J$. Left column: $U = -5 J$; right column: $U = 5 J$. $\gamma = 2 \pi$. In all panels, the dark contrast lines correspond to places for the LZ transitions (see main text for more details).

(ii) When one atom is transferred from one site to the neighboring site, there should be a change of the atom momentum distribution

$$n(k,t) = \sum_{i,j} e^{ik(j-i)} \langle \psi | a_i^\dagger a_j | \psi \rangle,$$

which is plotted in Figs. 6(b) and can be measured using the time-of-flight image. Here $|\psi\rangle$ is the wave function obtained from the TEBD numerical simulation. Note that the actual momentum distribution may oscillate rapidly after the LZ transition, which may not be observable in experiments. Here, we take the average momentum distribution in a small time interval $0.9 \hbar J^{-1}$ in Figs. 6(b). We see the atom momentum distributions $n(k,t)$ have peaks around $k = 0$ during the LZ transitions. There is a one-to-one correspondence between the density and momentum changes in the LZ transition, therefore the momentum peaks around $k = 0$ [the dark lines in Figs. 6(b)] appear once for $U < 0$ (one LZ transition) and several times for $U > 0$.

(iii) The density-density correlation

$$s(k,t) = \sum_{i,j} e^{ik(j-i)} \langle \psi | n_in_j | \psi \rangle - \langle \psi | n_i | \psi \rangle \langle \psi | n_j | \psi \rangle,$$

which can be measured using the Bragg scattering [50], shows similar features as $n_L$ because $n_in_j$ should also have a sudden change in the LZ transition process. Similarly, there are different numbers of dark lines in Figs. 6(c) for $U < 0$ and $U > 0$. Note that $n(k,t)$ shows a strong asymmetry about $k = 0$ because the momentum is transferred along a specific direction during the LZ transition. In contrast, the density-density correlation, due to its definition, is exactly symmetric at about $k = 0$. In experiments, the number of atoms in the left well $n_L$ and the density-density correlation $s(k,t)$ can also be measured using the single site detection [51–53].

Experimentally, the 1D double-well lattice has been realized for $^{87}$Rb atoms by superimposing two optical lattices with the wavelength $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2/2$ and the corresponding lattice potential depths $V_1$ and $V_2$ [10]. The motion of atoms along the other two dimensions is frozen by two additional regular lattices with the potential depth $V_{\perp} = 30 E_R$, where $E_R = \hbar^2/2m \lambda^2 = 2 \pi \times 3.7$ KHz is the atom recoil energy. By tuning $V_1$ and $V_2$, we can set the intrawell tunneling energy $J \sim \hbar \times 2 \pi \times 200$ Hz. The typical on-site interaction strength in the optical lattice is $U \sim \hbar \times 2 \pi \times 1$ KHz, but can be tuned using Feshbach resonance [54]. The chemical potential $\Delta$ can be tuned by shifting one laser beam with respect to the other [10]. The typical LZ transition time is $\sim 50 \hbar/J \sim 40$ ms, which can be easily achieved in experiments. Taking into account the symmetry of the energy levels for the repulsive and attractive interactions [Figs. 2(a) and 2(b)], we can use the repulsive interaction ($U > 0$) to emulate the LZ transition with the attractive interaction ($U < 0$) by initially preparing the atoms in the excited state [the upper branch in Fig. 2(a)], similar to the method used in a recent experiment [10].

### VI. CONCLUSION

In summary, we study the many-body effects in the LZ transition using ultracold bosonic atoms in 1D double-well optical lattices. The dynamics of such nonadiabatic systems is obtained through large-scale numerical simulations using the TEBD algorithm. Our results are important for understanding not only the route from few- to many-body physics when individual small systems are coupled to form a large system through the many-body coupling, but also the nonequilibrium dynamics in optical lattices when the system parameters vary with a finite rate (neither adiabatic nor sudden).
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