

NP Completeness

Classes

P

✓ NP^{1/2}

Decision Problems only

(Yes/No)

Polynomial
time

(Model: Deterministic
Turing Machine)

Non-deterministic
Poly time

Non-deterministic
TM

NP

Problem, Input = $w \in \Sigma^*$

Yes/No? If an additional "guess" g is supplied, then in polynomial time, we can verify that w is an Yes instance of problem.

Yes

No

\exists guess g such that verification algorithm outputs "Yes" in polynomial time

$\forall g$, verification algorithm always outputs "No"

Equivalence between Decision / Optimization problems [2/7]

(with respect to polynomial time)

1. Clique problem: Given $G = (V, E)$, find a clique of max cardinality. [$S \subseteq V$ is a clique if the induced subgraph of S is a complete graph]
Decision (Clique): Given $G = (V, E)$, integer k , does G have a clique of cardinality k ?

If clique (decision) has a polynomial time algorithm, (C/P)
then we can find a max clique in poly time.

$k \leftarrow 1$ while $\langle G, k \rangle$ is not Yes instance of clique do $k++$
for $u \in V$ do
if $\langle G - \{u\}, k \rangle$ is a Yes instance of clique do
 $G \leftarrow G - \{u\}$

Output G

2. Subset Sum problem: (Knapsack)

→ Given x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n and a target sum t , $\left[\begin{array}{l} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i > 0 \\ t > 0 \end{array} \right]$ (3/7)

Find a subset of x_1, \dots, x_n whose sum is as close as possible to t , without going over t .

Decision: Given x_1, \dots, x_n, t , Is there a subset whose sum is t
if $t > x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n$ then $t \leftarrow x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n$
while $t < x_1, \dots, x_n, t >$ is a No Instance of Subset sum do $t --$

~~Until $t = 0 \implies$ No sub subset~~

$X \leftarrow \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$

for $i \leftarrow 1$ to n do

Remove x_i from X

If X is ~~still~~ a No Instance of Subset sum

then Put x_i back into X .

Output X, t

Some NP-completeness proofs

Known NP-complete problems: Satisfiability, Clique, Subset Sum

New problem: Subgraph isomorphism

$\langle G_1, G_2 \rangle$: Is there a subgraph of G_2 that is isomorphic to G_1 ?

Claim: Subgraph isomorphism is NP-complete.

Proof: (i) S.I. \in NP. Given a guess: mapping from $G_1 \rightarrow G_2$,

we can verify in poly time that this mapping is ~~correct~~ is correct.

[Verify that m is 1-to-1 and also for each $(u,v) \in E_1$, $(m(u), m(v)) \in E_2$]

(ii) ~~All problems in NP~~ can be reduced to S.I.

Known NP-complete problem
Clique \leq_p S.I.

Consider an Input $\langle G, k \rangle$ to the Clique problem.

Construct an instance of SI:

$$G_1 = K_{k-1} = \text{clique complete graph on } k \text{ nodes.}$$

(k-clique)

$$G_2 = G$$

S.I. instance: $\langle G_1, G_2 \rangle$.

(\Rightarrow) If G has a clique of size k , then $\langle G_1, G_2 \rangle$ is a Yes instance of S.I. A k -clique in $G_1 = G_2$ is isomorphic to $G_1 \Rightarrow$ Yes instance of S.I.

(\Leftarrow) If G does not have a clique of size k (No Instance) then $\langle G_1, G_2 \rangle$ is a No Instance of S.I.

Proof by contradiction: Suppose not. $\langle G_1, G_2 \rangle$ is a Yes instance of S.I.

There is a subgraph S of G_2 isomorphic to G_1

Since G_1 is a k -clique, S is a k -clique of $G_2 = G$
- contradicts the claim that $\langle G, k \rangle$ is a no instance

Partition is NP-Complete

Partition: Given $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, can X be partitioned into A and B ($A \cup B = X, A \cap B = \emptyset$)

Such that $\sum_{x_i \in A} x_i = \sum_{x_i \in B} x_i$?

~~Pr~~ (i) Partition \in NP. Guess A and B - Verify that

$$A \cup B = X, A \cap B = \emptyset, \sum_{x_i \in A} x_i = \sum_{x_i \in B} x_i$$

(ii) Subset sum $\leq p$ Partition.

x_1, \dots, x_n, t Let $x = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$

Is there a subset of x_1, \dots, x_n , whose sum is exactly t } Input instance
IF $t \leq \frac{x}{2}$, $x_{n+1} = x - 2t$
Otherwise $x_{n+1} = 2t - x$

~~Pr~~ x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1} is a Yes Instance of Partition S.S.
 $\Leftrightarrow x_1, \dots, x_n, t$ is a Yes Instance of S.S.