CS 6347

Concave Entropy Approximations & Conditional Gradients
Maximum Entropy

\[
\max_{q^1, ..., q^m} \sum_m H(q^m)
\]

such that the moment matching condition is satisfied

\[
\sum_m f(x^m, y^m) = \sum_m \sum_x q^m(x|y^m)f(x, y^m)
\]

\(q^1, ..., q^m\) are discrete probability distributions

and \(f(x^m, y^m) = \sum_c f_c(x_c^m, y^m)\)
Regularized MLE

- $L_2$ regularizer with a constant $\lambda$
  - $\lambda$ is unknown and is chosen by cross-validation

Regularized log-likelihood:

$$\left\langle \theta, \sum_m \sum_c f_c(x_c^m, y^m) \right\rangle - \sum_m \log Z(\theta, y^m) - \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\theta\|_2^2$$

Regularized maximum entropy:

$$\max_{q^1, \ldots, q^m} \sum_m H(q^m) - \frac{1}{2\lambda} \left\| \sum_m f(x^m, y^m) - \sum_m \sum_x q^m(x|y^m)f(x, y^m) \right\|_2^2$$
Bethe Entropy

\[ H_B(\tau) = - \sum_{i \in V} \sum_{x_i} \tau_i(x_i) \log \tau_i(x_i) - \sum_{C} \sum_{x_C} \tau_C(x_C) \log \frac{\tau_C(x_C)}{\prod_{k \in C} \tau_k(x_k)} \]

- \( \tau \) are pseudomarginals in the marginal polytope
- Not concave in general
  - Real entropy is concave
  - Can make it concave by “reweighting” some of the pieces
Concave Entropy Approximations

\[ H_\rho(\tau) = -\sum_{i \in V} \sum_{x_i} \tau_i(x_i) \log \tau_i(x_i) - \sum_C \rho_C \sum_{x_C} \tau_C(x_C) \log \frac{\tau_C(x_C)}{\prod_{k \in C} \tau_k(x_k)} \]

\[ = -\sum_{i \in V} \sum_{x_i} \left( 1 - \sum_{C \ni i} \rho_C \right) \tau_i(x_i) \log \tau_i(x_i) - \sum_C \rho_C \sum_{x_C} \tau_C(x_C) \log \tau_C(x_C) \]

- For each clique \( C \), choose some real number \( \rho_C \geq 0 \)
  - We can always choose the \( \rho \) such that the resulting approximation is concave
  - Use this as a surrogate for the true entropy
Reweighted Maximum Entropy

\[
\max_{\tau^1, \ldots, \tau^M \in T} \sum_m H_\rho(\tau^m) - \frac{1}{2\lambda} \left\| \sum_m f(x^m, y^m) - \sum_m \sum_c \sum_{x_c} \tau^m_c (x_c | y^m) f_c(x_c, y^m) \right\|_2^2
\]

• For appropriate choice of \( \rho \) this is a constrained concave optimization problem

• This approximate maximum entropy optimization problem is dual to an approximate MLE optimization problem where we approximate \( Z \) using the Bethe free energy with a concave entropy approximation

  – Note: strong duality holds when this problem is concave and you choose the same \( \rho \) for both max-entropy and MLE
Gradient Descent

• Suppose that we want to minimize a convex function $f(x)$

• Start with an initial point $x^0$

$$x^t = x^{t-1} - \gamma_t \nabla f(x^{t-1})$$

– $\gamma_t$ is a step size

• Idea: step along a decreasing direction
Franke-Wolfe

• Let’s suppose that we want to minimize a convex function $f(x)$ over a convex set $S$
  – Could take one step of gradient descent
  – If we end up outside of $S$, just project back in (can be computationally expensive)

• An alternative: the Frank-Wolfe algorithm
  – To minimize a convex function over a convex set, it suffices to solve a series of linear optimization problems
Franke-Wolfe

• Start with an initial point $x^0 \in S$

$$s^t = \arg\min_{x \in S} \langle x, \nabla f(x^{t-1}) \rangle$$

$$x^t = (1 - \gamma_t)x^{t-1} + \gamma_t s^t$$

• $\gamma_t$ is the step size

  – The algorithm is guaranteed to converge if $\gamma_t = \frac{2}{2+t}$

  – Other choices are also possible
Franke-Wolfe

\[(x^0, y^0) = (1, .7)\]

\[
\min_{x,y} (x - .25)^2 + (y - .25)^2
\]
such that
\[
x + y \leq 1
\]
\[
0 \leq x, y \leq 1
\]
Franke-Wolfe

\[(x^0, y^0) = (.1, .7)\]
\[\nabla f = (-.3, .9)\]
\[s^1 = (1, 0)\]
\[(x^1, x^2) = (.7, .23)\]

\[
\min_{x,y} (x - .25)^2 + (y - .25)^2
\]
such that
\[
x + y \leq 1
\]
\[
0 \leq x, y \leq 1
\]
Franke-Wolfe

\[ (x^1, y^1) = (0.7, 0.23) \]
\[ \nabla f = (0.9, -0.03) \]
\[ s^2 = (0, 1) \]
\[ (x^2, y^2) = (0.45, 0.48) \]

\[ \min_{x,y} (x - 0.25)^2 + (y - 0.25)^2 \]

such that
\[ x + y \leq 1 \]
\[ 0 \leq x, y \leq 1 \]
Franke-Wolfe

\[(x^2, y^2) = (.45, .48)\]
\[\nabla f = (.4, .47)\]
\[s^3 = (0,0)\]
\[(x^3, y^3) = (.24, .28)\]

\[
\min_{x,y} (x - .25)^2 + (y - .25)^2
\]
\[\text{such that} \]
\[x + y \leq 1\]
\[0 \leq x, y \leq 1\]
Reweighted Maximum Entropy

\[ En(t^1, \ldots, t^M) = \sum_m H_\rho(t^m) - \frac{1}{2\lambda} \left\| \sum_m f(x^m, y^m) - \sum_m \sum_c \sum_{x_c} t_c^m(x_c | y^m f_c(x_c, y^m) \right\|^2_2 \]

- To apply FW, need to compute the gradient with respect to \( t^1, \ldots, t^M \)
- The optimization we need to solve is
  \[ \arg \max_{\mu^1, \ldots, \mu^m \in T} \langle \mu, \nabla En(t^1, \ldots, t^M) \rangle \]
  - This is a linear programming problem over the local polytope
    - This means it corresponds to solving an approximate MAP problem!
MAP LP

\[
\max_{\tau} \sum_{i \in V} \sum_{x_i} \tau_i(x_i) \log \phi_i(x_i) + \sum_{C} \sum_{x_C} \tau_C(x_C) \log \psi_C(x_C)
\]

such that

\[
\sum_{x_i} \tau_i(x_i) = 1 \\
\sum_{x_C \setminus i} \tau_C(x_C) = \tau_i(x_i) \\
\tau_i(x_i) \in [0,1] \\
\tau_C(x_C) \in [0,1]
\]

For all \(i \in V\)
For all \(C, i \in C, x_i\)
For all \(i \in V, x_i\)
For all \(C, x_C\)
Reweighted Maximum Entropy

\[ \text{Ent}(\tau^1, ..., \tau^M) = \sum_m H_\rho(\tau^m) - \frac{1}{2\lambda} \left\| \sum_m f(x^m, y^m) - \sum_m \sum_c \sum_{x_c} \tau^m_c (x_c | y^m) f_c(x_c, y^m) \right\|^2_2 \]

- Can solve this optimization problem just by solving a series of approximate MAP (linear programming problems)
  - Many general purpose solvers exist for LPs
  - Could use belief propagation!
Reweighted Sum-Product

- We know that fixed points of loopy BP correspond to local optima of the Bethe free energy

- Is there an analog of sum-product for each choice of $\rho$?
  
  – Yes!
Reweighted Sum-Product

- \( p(x_1, ..., x_n) = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{i \in V} \phi_i(x_i) \prod_{(i, j) \in E} \psi_{ij}(x_i, x_j) \)

\[
m_{i \rightarrow j}(x_j) = \sum_{x_i} \phi_i(x_i) \psi_{ij}(x_i, x_j) \frac{1}{\rho_{ij}} \left[ \prod_{k \in N(i)} m_{k \rightarrow i}(x_i)^{\rho_{ki}} \right] m_{j \rightarrow i}(x_i)
\]

- \( \rho = \vec{1} \) is equal to regular belief propagation
Image Segmentation
This image is $159 \times 100 = 15,900$ pixels

$2^{15,900}$ different possible segmentations!
Given a set of labeled training examples, we want to learn the weights of an Ising model (with features) to correctly predict the segmentation of an unseen horse.
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