Conservation of Neuron Number and Size in Entorhinal Cortex Layers II, III, and V/VI of Aged Primates
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ABSTRACT
Past dogma asserted that extensive loss of cortical neurons accompanies normal aging. However, recent stereologic studies in humans, monkeys, and rodents have found little evidence of age-related neuronal loss in several cortical regions, including the neocortex and hippocampus. Yet to date, a complete investigation of age-related neuronal loss or size change has not been undertaken in the entorhinal cortex, a retrohippocampal structure essential for learning and memory. The aged rhesus macaque monkey (Macaca mulatta), a species that develops β-amyloid plaques and exhibits cognitive deficits with age, is considered the best commonly available model of aging in humans. In the present study, we examined changes in total neuron number and size in layers II, III, and V/VI of the intermediate division of the entorhinal cortex in aged vs. nonaged rhesus monkeys by using unbiased stereologic methods. Total neuron number was conserved in aged primates when compared with nonaged adults in entorhinal cortex layer II (aged = 56,500 ± 12,100, nonaged adult = 48,500 ± 10,900; P = 0.37), layer III (aged = 205,600 ± 50,700, nonaged adult = 187,600 ± 60,300; P = 0.66), and layers V/VI (aged = 246,400 ± 76,700, nonaged adult = 236,800 ± 69,600; P = 0.87). In each of the layers examined, neuronal area and volume were also conserved with aging. This lack of morphologically evident neurodegeneration in primate entorhinal cortex with aging further supports the concept that fundamental differences exist between the processes of normal “healthy” aging and pathologic age-related neurodegenerative disorders such as Alzheimer’s disease. J. Comp. Neurol. 422:396–401, 2000. © 2000 Wiley-Liss, Inc.
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Aging in primates clearly results in declines in brain size, weight and function (Chranowska and Beben, 1973; Dekaban, 1978; Bachevalier et al., 1991; Murphy et al., 1992; Cabeza et al., 1997; Herndon et al., 1997; Andersen et al., 1999; Small et al., 1999). Yet the cellular and morphologic substrates underlying these changes remain poorly characterized. Although long-held dogma suggested that aging is associated with a frank loss of neurons in the primate brain, careful recent studies have revealed modest if any change in cell number and size in a variety of brain regions with aging, including the neocortex and hippocampus (West, 1993; Wickelgren, 1996; Morrison and Hof, 1997; Simic et al., 1997; Peters et al., 1998).

Among the clear functional changes that occur with aging in primates are declines in some aspects of cognition and memory. In particular, aging affects short-term memory (Bartus et al., 1978), memory acquisition and early retrieval (Small et al., 1999), working memory (Grady et al., 1998), recognition memory (Rapp and Amaral, 1991; Grady et al., 1995; Moss et al., 1997), reasoning (Gilinsky and Judd, 1994), and processing speed (Kail and Salthouse, 1994; Robbins et al., 1994). Some of these functions are mediated through the temporal lobe memory system
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(TLMS), which includes the hippocampus proper, subiculum, presubiculum, parasubiculum, and entorhinal cortex (Squire and Zola, 1996). The entorhinal cortex in particular serves as a gateway structure that functionally interconnects broad areas of cerebral cortex with the hippocampus. The entorhinal cortex receives widespread cortical inputs from polymodal association areas and projects from layers II and III by means of the perforant path to the hippocampal dentate gyrus and Ammon’s horn, respectively (Bjorklund et al., 1987). After extensive hippocampal processing of this information, the entorhinal cortex receives projections back from the hippocampus and subiculum. These inputs are then sent to widespread cortical regions by neurons in layers V/VI. Thus, each layer of entorhinal cortex is a key component in memory formation. Age-related loss of neurons in just one of these entorhinal layers could have a profound impact on function of the hippocampus and the diverse regions of cortex to which entorhinal cortex projects, potentially disrupting some of the same memory systems that become dysfunctional with aging.

Thus, each of the layers of the entorhinal cortex is a logical target for investigation of effects of aging on memory, because each layer comprises a major input or output from entorhinal cortex. Only one study to date has examined change in neuron number in entorhinal cortex as a function of age; this study was restricted to layer II and reported intact numbers of neurons in aged rhesus monkeys relative to nonaged monkeys (Gazzaley et al., 1997). To date, a comprehensive examination of all layers of the primate entorhinal cortex as a function of age has not been conducted, however. Furthermore, no studies of age-related change in cell size have been conducted in the entorhinal cortex, despite the possibility that reduction in neuronal size could comprise an important component of age-related atrophy. The goal of this study was to comprehensively investigate the layers of primate entorhinal cortex by using unbiased stereologic methods to determine whether changes in either cell number or size occur as a potential mechanism correlating with age-related cognitive decline.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Subjects**

Two groups of rhesus monkeys were studied: four aged monkeys (mean age, 24.3 ± 1.9 years; all males) and four nonaged adult monkeys (mean age, 11.3 ± 2.1 years; 3 males, 1 female). All subjects were born at the California Regional Primate Center and spent the majority of their lives in 0.5-acre field enclosures containing social groups of 80–100 animals. All animal care procedures adhered to American Association for the Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care and institutional guidelines.

**Tissue processing and histochemistry**

Animals were sedated with 25 mg/kg i.m. ketamine and were then deeply anesthetized with Nembutal (30 mg/kg i.p.). After verifying that all reflex responses to cutaneous stimulation were absent, subjects were perfused transcardially for 1 hr with a 4% solution of paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer pH 7.4 at 4°C followed by 5% sucrose solution. Brains were stereotaxically blocked in the coronal plane, and serial coronal sections through the brain were cut on a freezing microtome set at 40 μm. Every 12th section was Nissl stained with thionin for stereologic quantification.

**Anatomic boundaries**

The intermediate subdivision of the primate entorhinal cortex, the largest of the three major components of entorhinal cortex, was examined because of the well-defined laminar and anatomic boundaries of this region. Specifically, the intermediate division of the entorhinal cortex is the only region that contains layer II “cell islands” and a clearly definable cell-sparse layer IV “lamina dessicans” (Fig. 1B). In contrast, the rostral entorhinal cortex contains cell islands but no lamina dessicans (Fig. 1A), and layer II in the caudal entorhinal cortex contains a continuous cell layer (rather than islands) and lacks a lamina dessicans (Fig. 1C). Furthermore, the anatomic boundaries between the caudal entorhinal cortex and the adjacent parahippocampal gyrus are indistinct, rendering a stereologic quantification of the caudal entorhinal cortex problematic. Thus, the intermediate division of the entorhinal cortex is most distinct, constitutes the largest contribution of primary entorhinal inputs and outputs to hippocampus and cortex, and is, therefore, a logical and valid target for stereologic quantification.

The following anatomic features were used to define borders of the intermediate entorhinal cortex for stereologic quantification (Van Hoesen and Pandya, 1975; Amaral et al., 1987). *Rostral*: the first coronal section moving from rostral-to-caudal that contained cell islands in layer II and a complete layer IV; *Caudal*: the last coronal section moving from rostral-to-caudal that contained cell islands in layer II and a complete layer IV; *Medial*: the appearance of layer IV and cell islands in layer II just ventral to the parasubiculum; and *Lateral*: the disappearance of a clear layer IV in lateral entorhinal, or prorhinal, cortex. The prorhinal cortex was not quantified because of the absence of a clear layer IV, which made the border between prorhinal and perirhinal cortex at the rhinal sulcus difficult to define precisely.

Borders between individual laminae in the entorhinal cortex were distinguished as follows (see Fig. 1B): *Laminar boundaries* between layers II and III were easily distinguishable due to the increased size and staining intensity of layer II cell islands. Cell-sparse layer IV clearly separated layer III from layer V, providing a distinct boundary between III and V. Layers V and VI were quantified together, because they are difficult to resolve into individual laminae within a single section, and their projection patterns are similar (Amaral et al., 1987; Bjorklund et al., 1987).

**Stereology**

A 1-in-12 series of Nissl-stained sections through the entire extent of the intermediate entorhinal cortex was used to quantify cell number and size in layers II, III, and V/VI of each subject. To correct for shrinkage during processing, tissue thickness was measured in each section at 60 × in five random locations, and a mean thickness from these measurements was used in stereologic equations.

The unbiased optical fractionator and nucleator stereologic methods were used to estimate total number and size of principal neurons, respectively. Briefly, the optical fractionator relies on a three-dimensional probe, the optical dissector, and a systematic uniform sampling scheme (the
fractionator) to provide unbiased estimates of total neuron number (West et al., 1991). Optical fractionator estimates are free of assumptions about neuron size and shape, and are unaffected by tissue processing artifacts. Within each optical dissector, cells were counted only if the nucleolus came into focus within the dissector height, which was set at the middle 75% of total tissue thickness for each section. The optical dissector dimensions were set at 50 μm². For each entorhinal cortical layer, a specific fraction of the total area was sampled based on preliminary estimates of cell number. Sampling was optimized to produce a coefficient of error (CE) under the observed biological variability. The nucleator was used to provide estimates of the profile area of cells and absolute volume (Gundersen, 1988). The nucleator identifies a point associated with a cell, in this case the nucleolus, from which five rays are extended. The intersections of the rays with the boundary of the cell are located and marked. It is assumed that the tissue has been sectioned in parallel planes and that the cells will be observed in random three-dimensional positions.

The stereology set-up consisted of an Olympus AX-70 microscope fitted with a Ludl-2002 motorized stage and an Olympus video camera. Stereology was completed by using Stereo Investigator software (Microbrightfield, Inc.). Each entorhinal cortical layer was outlined at low-power (4×) and then cells were quantified by using the stereology program’s pseudorandom sampling scheme under a high-power oil objective (60×, numerical aperture = 1.4). All analyses were performed with the examiner blinded to the identity of the subject. Neurons were distinguished from other objects such as astrocytes and microglia based on the presence of a readily distinguishable nucleolus within the cell in question, in accordance with criteria previously used in stereologic studies to identify neurons (West, 1993; West and Slomianka, 1998).

**Statistical analysis**

Comparisons between the aged and nonaged groups were made by using Student’s two-tailed, unpaired t test. Criteria for significant differences were set at the 95% probability level. Data are reported as mean ± standard deviation.

**RESULTS**

On the basis of the anatomic boundaries described above, the mean number of Nissl-stained histologic sections quantified for stereologic analysis in the aged and nonaged groups was identical: 5.75 ± 1.50 sections were examined in the aged group vs. 5.75 ± 1.50 sections in the nonaged group. Similarly, mean tissue section thickness was similar in the two groups: mean thickness was 20.7 ± 3.98 D.A. MERRILL ET AL.

---

**Fig. 1.** Coronal sections of nonaged adult primate entorhinal cortex illustrating the anatomic boundaries (black dots) used to demarcate the intermediate subdivision of entorhinal cortex. A: Rostral entorhinal cortex contains layer II “cell islands” (large arrow) but no layer IV “lamina dessicans.” B: Intermediate entorhinal cortex includes both layer II “cell islands” (large arrows) and a clearly definable layer IV “lamina dessicans” (small arrows), which is a cell-sparse layer only visible in intermediate entorhinal cortex with Nissl stains. C: In caudal entorhinal cortex, layer II becomes continuous and lacks “cell islands,” whereas layer IV is no longer present. The figure was produced by using Adobe Photoshop (Adobe Systems, Mountain View, CA). Scale bar = 0.5 mm (applies to A–C).
TABLE 1. Number of Neurons in the Intermediate Entorhinal Cortex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Animal</th>
<th>Age (yr)</th>
<th>Neuron number</th>
<th>Group mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Non-aged</td>
<td>Y1</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>64,209</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y2</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>45,264</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y3</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>45,629</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y4</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>39,943</td>
<td>48,500</td>
<td>10,900</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aged</td>
<td>A1</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>64,445</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A2</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>41,160</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A3</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>67,777</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A4</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>52,449</td>
<td>56,400</td>
<td>12,100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Non-aged</td>
<td>Y1</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>276,800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y2</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>147,252</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y3</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>155,150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y4</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>171,226</td>
<td>187,600</td>
<td>60,300</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aged</td>
<td>A1</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>225,529</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A2</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>174,400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A3</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>267,156</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A4</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>155,176</td>
<td>205,600</td>
<td>50,700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V/VI</td>
<td>Non-aged</td>
<td>Y1</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>336,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y2</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>182,465</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y3</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>195,138</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y4</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>233,636</td>
<td>236,800</td>
<td>69,600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aged</td>
<td>A1</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>252,390</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A2</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>219,200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A3</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>260,757</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A4</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>196,769</td>
<td>246,400</td>
<td>76,700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 2. Area of Neurons in the Intermediate Entorhinal Cortex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Animal</th>
<th>Age (yr)</th>
<th>Area estimate</th>
<th>Group mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Non-aged</td>
<td>Y1</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>312</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y2</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>302</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y3</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>306</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y4</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>306 ± 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aged</td>
<td>A1</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>272 ± 6</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A2</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>298</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A3</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>280</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A4</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>299 ± 33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Non-aged</td>
<td>Y1</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>252</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y2</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>254</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y3</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>264</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y4</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>263 ± 15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aged</td>
<td>A1</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>257 ± 9</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A2</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>250</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A3</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>247</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A4</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>252 ± 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V/VI</td>
<td>Non-aged</td>
<td>Y1</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>205</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y2</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>258</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y3</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>215</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y4</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>225 ± 23</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aged</td>
<td>A1</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>207 ± 9</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A2</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>196</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A3</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>216</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A4</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>209 ± 9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.6 μm in the aged group vs. 21.2 ± 3.2 μm in nonaged subjects (P = 0.50).

Significant differences between aged and nonaged primates in neuron number or size were not detected in any layer of the intermediate division of the entorhinal cortex (Tables 1–3). Neuron numbers were preserved in layers II, III, and V/VI of the entorhinal cortex (Table 1). Thus, the total number of neurons in the intermediate component of the entorhinal cortex of adult, nonaged monkeys is 473,000 ± 139,000 neurons (summing counts in layers II–VI); this value increases slightly (but nonsignificantly) to 508,400 ± 126,900 neurons in aged monkeys.

Similarly, overall neuronal area and volume were preserved in the entorhinal cortex of primates with aging (Tables 2, 3). Mean neuronal area in the entorhinal cortex was 264 ± 11 μm² in nonaged adult monkeys and was 253 ± 12 μm² in aged monkeys (P = 0.22). Mean neuronal volume in the entorhinal cortex was 3,700 ± 212 μm³ in nonaged monkeys, and this value decreased nonsignificantly to 3,440 ± 302 μm³ in aged monkeys (P = 0.21). Thus, aging is not associated with alteration in neuronal survival or morphology in the entorhinal cortex.

To determine whether valid and efficient optical fractionator parameters are used and whether adequate object sampling is performed in a stereologic study, variation in the estimate of neuron number within an individual (CE²) is compared with variation in the estimate of neuron number among all individuals (CV²). Overall variation in the estimate is composed of biological variation between subjects and several other causes of variation that are produced by measurement error. To prove that biological variability between subjects rather than measurement error is the main component of variability, the observed variation of the estimate of N (neuron number) for an individual (CE²) should be less than half that (0.5) of the observed variance among individuals (CV²). In the present experiment, CE²s were similar within and between groups. CE²s fell within a range from 0.06 to 0.15, with a mean of 0.10. The coefficients of variation (CV = standard deviation/mean) ranged from 0.22 to 0.32, with a mean of 0.27. This resulted in a CE²/CV² ratio of 0.14 (West and Gundersen, 1990). Because the mean CE² of the individual estimates was less than half of the mean CV², the group estimates primarily reflected true biological variation between groups rather than measurement error (West and Gundersen, 1990).

DISCUSSION

Data from this study further challenge the concept that aging is associated with a loss of neuronal number or size. Aged rhesus monkeys develop mnemonic deficits with age and have been reported to exhibit β-amyloid plaque formation in the entorhinal cortex (Struble et al., 1985; Mufson et al., 1994), yet the present results demonstrate conservation of neuron number and size in this region. Cognitively intact aged humans can also exhibit a sub-
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related declines in neuronal function may be remediable if
cells are atrophic but still alive. Thus, recent careful stud-
ies have failed to demonstrate that extensive cell loss
occurs as a consequence of aging in the primate brain but
have identified extensive down-regulation of key enzymes
and receptor functions. Future investigations will aim to
identify molecular and cellular processes that render aged
neurons vulnerable to alterations in neuronal protein and
receptor expression. The correlation of molecular and cel-
ular alterations with declines in performance on func-
tional tasks (Rapp and Amaral, 1991; Morrison and Hof,
1997; Embrog et al., 1998) may also yield valuable in-
sights into mechanisms of age-related dysfunction of the
nervous system.
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ate component of the nonhuman primate entorhinal cortex
contains a total of 491,000 neurons per hemisphere. Based
on previous studies that the intermediate entorhinal cor-
tox occupies roughly 30% of total entorhinal volume in
monkey (Amaral et al., 1987), it is estimated that the
entire monkey entorhinal cortex contains roughly 1.5 mil-
ion neurons per side. Thus, complex interactions between
temporal lobe memory systems and diverse cortical re-
gions are supported by relatively few neurons. The stereo-
logic method of analysis of neuron number in this study is
consistent with the single previous report of quantification
of cell number in layer II of the rhesus entorhinal cortex
(Gazzaley et al., 1997). Notably, the proportion of neurons
in each layer of the entorhinal cortex is highly conserved
between species, possibly reflecting functional conserva-
tion in entorhinal cortical processing and channeling of
neurotransmission through the hippocampus and neocor-
tex. Rat entorhinal cortex was previously estimated to
contain approximately 700,000 neurons (Mulders et al.,
1997), whereas two estimations of human entorhinal cor-
tex cell number have found an average of 7 million
(Gomez-Isla et al., 1996) and 8 million neurons (West and
Slomianka, 1995a,b). Differences in absolute cell numbers
between rodent, nonhuman primate, and human entorhi-
cortex likely reflect the progressively greater volume
of information flow that this system supports in more
highly evolved species.
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