Increased Excitability of Aged Rabbit CA1 Neurons after Trace Eyeblink Conditioning
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Aging animals, including humans, are impaired in a variety of learning tasks (Zyzak et al., 1995; Thompson et al., 1996b). We adopted the rabbit eyeblink preparation as a model system in which to study neurobiological correlates of aging and associative learning (Disterhoft et al., 1977; Akase et al., 1989; Moyer et al., 1990; Thompson et al., 1992, 1996a; McEchron and Disterhoft, 1999). Aging rabbits require significantly more trials to learn trace eyeblink conditioning than young adult rabbits (Graves and Solomon, 1985; Thompson et al., 1996a). Unlike standard delay conditioning (Akase et al., 1989), trace eyeblink conditioning depends not only on brainstem–cerebellar circuitry but also on an intact hippocampus for successful acquisition (Moyer et al., 1990; Kim et al., 1995). Trace eyeblink conditioning deficits exhibited by aging rabbits parallel the deficits observed in hippocampal neurons of aging adult rabbits; both groups are profoundly impaired and show inappropriate timing of the few conditioned responses (CRs) elicited during training (Moyer et al., 1990; Thompson et al., 1996a). These data suggest that at least part of the deficit exhibited by aging rabbits involves impaired hippocampal function.

Hippocampal slices are a valuable tool for studying various aspects of cellular neurophysiology. Using intracellular recordings, we previously demonstrated that aging rabbit CA1 neurons had both larger postburst afterhyperpolarizations (AHPs) (Moyer et al., 1992) and prolonged calcium action potentials (APs) (Moyer and Disterhoft, 1994) than young adult neurons. These differences in calcium-mediated processes are similar to those observed in aging rabbit CA1 neurons (Landfield and Pitler, 1984; Pitler and Landfield, 1990). In addition, calcium-dependent synaptic plasticity is altered in aging hippocampal neurons (Norris et al., 1996, 1998; Shankar et al., 1998), suggesting that one of the consequences of brain aging in mammals may be an impaired ability to modulate intracellular calcium (Landfield, 1987; Disterhoft et al., 1994a; Khachaturian, 1994; Thibault and Landfield, 1996).

Blockade of excess calcium influx has been shown to ameliorate age-related learning deficits. For example, the dihydropyridine calcium channel antagonist nimodipine facilitates acquisition of trace eyeblink conditioning in aging rabbits (Deyo et al., 1989; Straube et al., 1990; Kowalska and Disterhoft, 1994). Intravenous administration of the same dose of nimodipine that facilitates learning also increases spontaneous firing rates of aging rabbit CA1 neurons in vivo (Thompson et al., 1996). Subsequent in vitro studies showed that postsynaptic excitability of aging CA1 neurons can be restored to levels more closely resembling young adult neurons by bath application of nanomolar concentrations of nimodipine (Moyer et al., 1992; Moyer and Disterhoft, 1994). Together, these data suggest that reducing calcium influx in aging CA1 neurons not only alters their electrophysiological properties but also facilitates the ability of aged animals to learn.

Increased Intracellular Calcium Modulates Postsynaptic Excitability of Aging CA1 Neurons

Previous studies have demonstrated that ion channels can be modulated by associative learning (Alkon, 1984; Disterhoft et al., 1986; de Jonge et al., 1990; Woody et al., 1991; Moyer et al., 1996; Thompson et al., 1996b; Saar et al., 1998). For example, learning-specific reductions of the calcium-dependent slow AHP have been observed in CA1 and CA3 neurons after acquisition of hippocampally dependent trace eyeblink conditioning (Moyer et al., 1996; Thompson et al., 1996b). Furthermore, the reduced AHPs observed after learning are transient, decaying back to baseline within 5–7 d, a time period appropriate for memory consolidation (Moyer et al., 1996; Thompson et al., 1996b). Similarly, reduced AHPs were observed in layer II pyramidal neurons of rat piriform cortex after acquisition of an odor discrimination task (Saar et al., 1998). To date, no studies have used intracellular recordings in vitro to evaluate learning-related changes in postsynaptic excitability of CA1 neurons in aging animals. To evaluate whether learning-related changes also occur in aging animals, intracellular current-
Figure 1. Aging rabbits are significantly impaired in their ability to learn hippocampally dependent trace eyelink conditioning. A. A plot of trial to criterion among rabbits that learned illustrates that aging rabbits required significantly more trials than young adult rabbits (*p < 0.05). B. A plot of percent CRs shows that, among rabbits that learned, young and aging rabbits were not significantly different from each other on either the first or last day of training. C. Learning curves of rabbits that learned (filled circles) and rabbits that did not learn (open symbols). The dashed line represents the behavioral criterion of 60% CRs. The aging rabbits that eventually learned (filled circles; n = 7) had an average learning curve that was shifted far to the right of young adult rabbits that learned (filled triangles; n = 6). Notice the relatively poor performance of the slow-learning young adult (open triangles; n = 4) and aging (open circles; n = 5) rabbits.

Materials and Methods

Behavioral training. New Zealand albino rabbits (Oryctolagus cuniculus) were purchased from Hazeltown Rabbity (Denver, PA) and maintained in accordance with guidelines established by the United States Department of Agriculture and approved and managed by the Animal Care Committee of Northwestern University. Rabbits received 500 msec trace eyelink conditioning as described previously (Moyer et al., 1996; Thompson et al., 1996a). Briefly, rabbits were fitted with restraining head bolts and trained in pairs in individual sound-attenuated chambers for daily 80 trial sessions (mean intertrial interval, 45 sec). The CS was a 100 msec, 85 dB, 6 kHz tone presented via stereo headphones. The unconditioned stimulus (US) was a 150 msec, 3.5 psi air puff sufficient to elicit reliable extension of the nictitating membrane (NM) (or third eyelid) as the unconditioned stimulus (US). Conditioned responses were scored when the rabbit opened its eye to the presentation of the CS and then closed its eye to the presentation of the US. Correct responses (CRs) were scored only if the rabbit made a conditioned eyelink response within 2 sec following US onset. Traces were scored as correct if their amplitudes were greater than 80% of their peak amplitude and if their duration was at least 60% of the duration of the CS (Moyer et al., 1996). All CA1 pyramidal neurons included in the study exhibited little spontaneous activity at rest, had action potential amplitudes >80 mV from threshold, had action potential durations >1.2 msec from rise threshold to recrossing of the resting potential, had input resistances >25 MΩ, and had stable resting membrane potentials more negative than -90 mV. Cells were studied at membrane potentials near -65 mV (using ±0.2 nA constant current injection, if necessary) to minimize the influence of voltage-dependent changes on membrane conductances. The protocols used to study the properties of CA1 neurons and the analyses of all intracellular data were identical with previously published methods (Moyer et al., 1996). Briefly, current-voltage relationships were constructed using 400 msec current injections (range, -1.0 to +0.2 nA). Postburst AHPs were evaluated using a 100 msec depolarizing current injection sufficient to elicit a burst of four action potentials. Spike frequency adaptation (referred to as accommodation in the present study) was evaluated by injecting the same amount of depolarizing current used to study the AHP but for an 800 msec duration. The number of action potentials was counted and recorded. To evaluate the distribution of changes within a population, individual cells were classified as having been “changed by conditioning” if its data fell beyond 2 SDs from the mean of the population of naive neurons studied in the particular age group (for details, see Moyer et al., 1996).

All reported values are the mean ± SEM. Statistical analyses were done using unpaired t tests or ANOVA with the significance level set at 0.05. Post hoc comparisons were made using Fisher’s PLSD only if a significant main effect was observed.

Results

Aging rabbits are impaired in acquisition of trace eyelink conditioning

Aging rabbits required 1405 ± 246 trials to reach the behavioral criterion of 60% CRs within an 80 trial trace conditioning session compared with 733 ± 138 trials for young adult rabbits (t10 = 2.276; p < 0.05) (Fig. 1A). Comparisons between trace-conditioned young and aging rabbits revealed no statistically significant differences in percent CRs on the first (young, 7.5 ± 1.9; aging, 4.1 ± 1.9; t10 = -1.27; p = 0.23) or the last (young, 69.8 ± 2.6; aging, 68.6 ± 1.4; t10 = -0.439; p = 0.67) day of training. Learning curves constructed from the slow-learning (<30% CRs after 15 sessions)
Table 1. Summary of learning-related changes in CA1 neurons from young and aged rabbits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group (number of rabbits)</th>
<th>Postburst after hyperpolarization</th>
<th>Frequency accommodation (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amplitude, mV</td>
<td>Area, mV × msec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naive, young (4)</td>
<td>−5.00 ± 0.1 (0/15)</td>
<td>−4937 ± 286 (0/15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naive, aged (6)</td>
<td>−6.34 ± 0.1 (0/13)§</td>
<td>−7554 ± 306 (0/13)§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slow learners, young (4)</td>
<td>−5.21 ± 0.3 (0/11)§</td>
<td>−5858 ± 549 (0/11)§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slow learners, aged (5)</td>
<td>−6.11 ± 0.4 (1/11)§</td>
<td>−8362 ± 1554 (0/11)§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trace 60%, young (6)</td>
<td>−3.02 ± 0.2 (6/15)§</td>
<td>−2285 ± 452 (2/15)§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trace 60%, aged (7)</td>
<td>−3.01 ± 0.2 (17/19)§</td>
<td>−2822 ± 426 (10/19)§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trace 80%, young (3)</td>
<td>−2.50 ± 0.3 (4/9)§</td>
<td>−1934 ± 630 (4/9)§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trace 80%, aged (1)</td>
<td>−3.06 ± 0.5 (3/4)§</td>
<td>−2595 ± 858 (2/4)§</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Neurons from aged experimentally naive rabbits were significantly different from those of young naive rabbits (AHP amplitude, p < 0.005; AHP area, p < 0.01; AHP duration, p < 0.05; number of spikes, p < 0.01).

Table 2. Properties of CA1 neurons from young and aged rabbits that do not change after acquisition of trace eyeblink conditioning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group (number of rabbits)</th>
<th>Resting potential (n)</th>
<th>Time constant (n)</th>
<th>Input resistance (n)</th>
<th>Action potential characteristics (n)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean, mV</td>
<td>Mean, msec</td>
<td>Mean, MΩ</td>
<td>Amplitude, mV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naive, young (4)</td>
<td>−67.9 ± 0.9 (15)</td>
<td>17.3 ± 1.7 (15)</td>
<td>32.1 ± 1.8 (15)</td>
<td>100.8 ± 1.5 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naive, aged (6)</td>
<td>−67.3 ± 0.4 (13)</td>
<td>17.8 ± 2.3 (13)</td>
<td>34.8 ± 2.4 (13)</td>
<td>97.6 ± 1.5 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slow learners, young (4)</td>
<td>−66.5 ± 0.5 (11)</td>
<td>15.7 ± 1.4 (11)</td>
<td>34.0 ± 3.4 (11)</td>
<td>98.9 ± 1.5 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slow learners, aged (5)</td>
<td>−67.8 ± 0.6 (11)</td>
<td>19.0 ± 2.0 (10)</td>
<td>30.9 ± 2.1 (11)</td>
<td>99.7 ± 2.0 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trace 60%, young (6)</td>
<td>−68.7 ± 0.8 (15)</td>
<td>14.9 ± 1.0 (15)</td>
<td>30.9 ± 1.6 (15)</td>
<td>102.3 ± 1.9 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trace 60%, aged (7)</td>
<td>−68.9 ± 0.6 (19)</td>
<td>16.1 ± 1.1 (17)</td>
<td>32.1 ± 1.4 (18)</td>
<td>102.8 ± 3.1 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trace 80%, young (3)</td>
<td>−67.1 ± 0.6 (9)</td>
<td>15.2 ± 3.5 (7)</td>
<td>37.5 ± 3.2 (7)</td>
<td>97.6 ± 1.4 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trace 80%, aged (1)</td>
<td>−68.3 ± 0.5 (4)</td>
<td>17.1 ± 1.2 (4)</td>
<td>32.7 ± 1.2 (4)</td>
<td>103.3 ± 0.7 (3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Antidromic action potentials were used to allow for accurate width measurements without interference from the underlying depolarizing potential that occurs using current injection-evoked or orthodromically driven action potentials.

and trace-conditioned rabbits clearly illustrate the poor performance of the slow-learning rabbits from both groups (Fig. 1C, open symbols) compared with rabbits that learned the task (Fig. 1C, filled symbols). Previous studies have shown that slow-learning rabbits serve as an excellent control group indistinguishable from pseudoconditioning rabbits (Disterhoft et al., 1988b; Moyer et al., 1996). The learning curves clearly show that, although the aging rabbits were ultimately able to achieve a similar level of performance, throughout training they showed fewer CRs, and they took nearly twice as long to reach the behavioral criterion of 60% CRs than did the young adult rabbits.

**Aging CA1 neurons exhibit decreased postsynaptic excitability compared with young adult CA1 neurons**

Postburst AHPS of CA1 neurons from experimentally naive aged rabbits were significantly larger than those from young adult neurons. Aging CA1 pyramidal neurons had AHPS that were significantly larger in amplitude (t26 = −3.199; p < 0.005), integrated area (t26 = −2.871; p < 0.01), and duration (t26 = 2.068; p < 0.05) than young adult CA1 neurons (Table 1, naive data). The enhanced AHPS observed in aging rabbits were similar to previous reports of age-related changes in the AHP (Landfield and Pitler, 1984; Moyer et al., 1992). The postburst AHP is primarily comprised of an outward, calcium-activated K⁺ current that modulates postsynaptic excitability of many cell types, including hippocampal and cortical pyramidal neurons (Hotson and Prince, 1980; Gustafsson and Wigström, 1981; Lancaster and Adams, 1986; Schwindt et al., 1992; Storm, 1990).

Spike frequency adaptation or accommodation is another measure of postsynaptic excitability (Madison and Nicoll, 1984; Hedlund and Andersen, 1989; Moyer et al., 1992, 1996; Thompson et al., 1996). CA1 neurons from experimentally naive aging rabbits fired significantly fewer action potentials in response to an 800 msec depolarizing current injection (t26 = −2.988; p < 0.01) (Table 1, naive data) than did young control neurons. These data are consistent with previous observations of greater accommodation in aging rabbit CA1 neurons compared with young adult neurons (Moyer et al., 1992; Oh et al., 1999).

No statistically significant differences were observed between young and aging neurons in resting membrane potential, input resistance, time constant, or action potential characteristics (Table 2, naive data). In addition, the amount of current injection required to elicit a burst of four action potentials (used to study the postburst AHP) did not vary as a function of age (aging, 0.70 ± 0.05 nA; young, 0.66 ± 0.06 nA; t26 = 0.454; p = 0.65). Analyses of within-burst firing also indicated no statistically significant differences between aging and young adult neurons. Latencies from current onset to each of the four APs elicited during the 100 msec current step used to study the postburst AHP were calculated. No statistically significant differences were observed in each of the following: (1) latency to the first AP (mean, ±5.4 msec; t26 = −0.086; p = 0.93); (2) latency to the second AP (mean, ±18 msec; t26 = −0.483; p = 0.63); (3) latency to the third AP (mean, ±40 msec; t26 = −0.901; p = 0.38); or (4) latency to the fourth AP (mean, ±71 msec; t26 = 0.364; p = 0.72).

**Acquisition of trace eyeblink conditioning increased excitability of aging and young adult CA1 neurons**

Postburst AHPS were significantly reduced in both young and aging CA1 neurons after acquisition of hippocampally dependent trace eyeblink conditioning. ANOVA indicated that the effects of learning were statistically significant for amplitude (young, F(3,46) =
Acquisition of hippocampally dependent trace eyeblink conditioning increased excitability of aging rabbit hippocampal CA1 pyramidal neurons. A, Effects of trace conditioning on the size of the postburst AHP. * Overlay of voltage recordings of the postburst AHP in CA1 neurons from an aging naive rabbit (Naive), an aging rabbit that showed <15% CRs after 15 sessions (Slow), and an aging trace-conditioned rabbit (Trace). The resting membrane potentials of these neurons were approximately −65 mV, with action potentials truncated for visualization of the AHP. The AHP was measured for 5 sec beginning after a 100 msec depolarizing current injection (solid black line), with minimal current (−0.6 nA) required to reliably evoke a burst of four action potentials. 2, Mean effects of trace eyeblink conditioning on postburst AHP amplitude in aging rabbit CA1 neurons. Notice that, after learning, the AHP was significantly reduced compared with naive and slow-learning aging controls. B, Typical examples of accommodation responses in CA1 pyramidal cells from aging naive (Naive), aging slow-learning (Slow), and aging trace-conditioned (Trace) rabbits. Although the cell from the trace-conditioned rabbit fired more action potentials, accommodation was not abolished, as evidenced by the increase in interspike interval with time during the 800 msec depolarizing stimulus (solid black line), but rather was significantly reduced after learning. The resting potentials of these cells were approximately −67 mV.

21.100, p < 0.0001; aging, \( F_{(3,43)} = 35.382, p < 0.0001 \), integrated area (young, \( F_{(3,46)} = 11.561, p < 0.0001 \); aging, \( F_{(3,43)} = 10.780, p < 0.0001 \), and duration (young, \( F_{(3,46)} = 3.427, p < 0.05 \); aging, \( F_{(3,43)} = 4.127, p < 0.01 \)) of the AHP (Table 1). An examination of individual neurons indicated that, after learning, 6 of 15 (40%) young adult and 17 of 19 (89%) aging neurons had significantly reduced AHP amplitudes relative to data from age-matched naive controls (Table 1). Previous reports of learning-specific AHP reductions in CA1 neurons involved only the use of young adult rabbits (Disterhoft et al., 1986; Coulter et al., 1989; de Jonge et al., 1990; Moyer et al., 1996). The present study, however, evaluated whether learning-related changes in postsynaptic excitability of CA1 neurons are also observed in aging rabbits. Figure 2 shows the effects of trace eyeblink conditioning on measures of postsynaptic excitability of aging CA1 pyramidal neurons. The voltage traces shown in Figure 2A clearly illustrate the reduced size and duration of the AHP in CA1 neurons from aging rabbits that reached the criterion of 60% CRs in a session relative to CA1 neurons from aging control rabbits (naive and slow-learning).

The learning-related changes in size and duration of the AHP did not result from differences in current required to elicit the burst of four action potentials used to study the postburst AHP. ANOVA indicated that, for both young adult and aging neurons, the current required to elicit four action potentials did not vary as a function of training condition (young, \( F_{(3,46)} = 0.633, p = 0.6 \); aging, \( F_{(3,43)} = 0.404, p = 0.75 \)). Also, there were no differences in within-burst firing in either age group as a function of training condition. Latencies to the first (young, \( F_{(3,46)} = 0.228, p = 0.88 \); aging, \( F_{(3,43)} = 1.126, p = 0.35 \)), second (young, \( F_{(3,46)} = 0.903, p = 0.45 \); aging, \( F_{(3,43)} = 0.752, p = 0.53 \)), third (young, \( F_{(3,46)} = 0.854, p = 0.47 \); aging, \( F_{(3,43)} = 0.73, p = 0.54 \)), or fourth (young, \( F_{(3,46)} = 1.09, p = 0.36 \); aging, \( F_{(3,43)} = 1.296, p = 0.3 \)) action potential within each burst were not significantly different after acquisition of trace eyeblink conditioning.

After learning, CA1 neurons from both young adult and aging rabbits showed less accommodation than their age-matched control groups (Table 1). ANOVA revealed that significantly more action potentials were elicited after acquisition of trace eyeblink conditioning (young, \( F_{(3,46)} = 7.232, p < 0.001 \); aging, \( F_{(3,43)} = 10.606, p < 0.001 \)). Figure 2B clearly shows this effect in aging neurons. Notice that neurons from experimentally naive and slow-learning rabbits exhibited robust accommodation, whereas neurons from trace-conditioned rabbits fired more action potentials.

The learning-related changes in postsynaptic excitability (AHP and accommodation) were present in the absence of any statistically significant changes in resting membrane potential, time constant, input resistance, or action potential characteristics (Table 2). This was true for CA1 neurons from both young adult and aging trace-conditioned rabbits.

**DISCUSSION**

Aging rabbits were significantly slower than young adult rabbits in acquiring the trace eyeblink conditioning task. CA1 neurons from aging naive rabbits had larger AHPs and exhibited more accommodation relative to neurons from young naive rabbits. After learning, both the postburst AHP and spike frequency accommodation were significantly reduced in a learning-specific manner in CA1 neurons from young adult and aging rabbits. These data...
represent the first evaluation of learning-related changes in aging rabbit CA1 neurons using intracellular recordings in vitro and implicate changes in postsynaptic excitability of hippocampal neurons in both aging and associative learning.

Aging rabbits were clearly impaired in their ability to acquire the trace eyeblink conditioning task (Fig. 1), consistent with previous observations of impaired learning ability in aging rabbits (Graves and Solomon, 1985; Deyo et al., 1989; Solomon and Grociao-Ellison, 1996; Thompson et al., 1996a). The aging rabbits required nearly twice as many training trials than did young adult rabbits to reach a behavioral criterion of 60% CRs in a session. Of the 13 aging rabbits that received trace eyeblink conditioning, only eight were able to reach criterion, whereas the other five remained below 30%, even after 15 training sessions. In contrast, all of the neurons that were able to learn, only two did so at a rate similar to that seen in young rabbits. These observations are consistent with previous data indicating substantial heterogeneity of learning ability among populations of aging rabbits receiving trace eyelink conditioning (Thompson et al., 1996a). In addition, the inability of the aging rabbits probably did not result from an inability to process CS and US information because animals switched to the delay conditioning task learn within several training sessions (Thompson et al., 1996a).

Hippocampal CA1 neurons recorded from experimentally naive aging rabbits had significantly larger, longer lasting postburst AHPs (Table 1), consistent with previous reports of decreased postsynaptic excitability of aging rabbit and rat CA1 neurons (Landfield and Pitter, 1984; Moyer et al., 1992). After a burst of action potentials, the larger, longer lasting AHPs of aging CA1 neurons could act to dampen the impact of excitatory inputs for several seconds (the duration of the AHP). Thus, a barrage of excitatory inputs onto an aging CA1 neuron during the AHP would be less likely to drive the cell to threshold than if the cell was at or near its resting membrane potential. The larger AHPs observed in aging CA1 neurons could result from an excess influx of calcium during depolarization because bath application of nanomolar concentrations of the L-type calcium channel antagonist nimodipine effectively eliminates the aging-related increase (Moyer et al., 1992). Additional evidence implicating calcium or calcium-dependent processes in aging comes from work demonstrating that aging CA1 neurons have prolonged calcium action potentials (Moyer and Disterhoft, 1994) and larger calcium currents (Landfield, 1996) compared with young adult neurons. Preliminary data from whole-cell voltage-clamp experiments also suggest that the calcium-activated potassium current underlying the slow AHP is enhanced in aging rabbit CA1 neurons (Power et al., 1999).

In addition to the enhanced AHPs, CA1 neurons from aging control rabbits also exhibited more robust accommodation during a long depolarizing current injection than young adult neurons (Table 1) (Moyer et al., 1992). This latter observation suggests that, even when aging CA1 neurons reach threshold, they are less likely to exhibit a sustained firing pattern in response to a continuous stream of inputs. That CA1 neurons from experimentally naive aging rabbits exhibited both larger AHPs and more robust accommodation than neurons from young adult rabbits is not surprising because modulation of the AHP by intracellular calcium or neurotransmitters typically alters accommodation (Schwartzkroin and Stafstrom, 1980; Cole and Nicoll, 1983; Haas and Greene, 1984; Hedlund and Andersen, 1989; Oh et al., 1999; Weiss et al., 2000).

After learning, CA1 neurons from aging rabbits had postburst AHPs that were 52.5% smaller in amplitude than those from aging control rabbits (Table 1). Inspection of individual neurons indicated that, after acquisition, 89% of the aging CA1 neurons exhibited reduced AHPs. These effects were observed on the amplitude, the integrated area, and the duration of the AHP. In response to long depolarizing current steps, CA1 neurons from aging rabbits fired 76.9% more action potentials after learning than did neurons from aging control rabbits (Table 1). These data indicate that acquisition of trace eyeblink conditioning in aging rabbits was accompanied by increased postsynaptic excitability of CA1 pyramidal cells. In addition, CA1 pyramidal neurons from young adult rabbits had AHP amplitudes that were 39.6% smaller after acquisition of trace eyeblink conditioning than control neurons with 40% of the neurons exhibiting reduced AHPs (Table 1). When given a long depolarizing current injection, young adult CA1 neurons fired 41.7% more action potentials after learning than did control neurons. Such changes were not observed in CA1 neurons from aging or young rabbits that showed fewer than 30% CRs after 15 training sessions (Table 1, Slow learners), suggesting that the effects were learning-specific, as previously observed in CA1 and CA3 neurons from young adult rabbits (Moyer et al., 1996; Thompson et al., 1996b).

Previous in vitro studies only evaluated the electrophysiological properties of aging rabbit CA1 neurons in experimental naive and aging rabbits (Thompson et al., 1996a; Moyer and Disterhoft, 1994; Oh et al., 1999). The present data show that, although CA1 neurons from aging control rabbits had larger AHPs and stronger accommodation than neurons from young adult controls, aging rabbits that learned the trace eyeblink conditioning task had AHPs that were significantly reduced relative to aging controls. In fact, after acquisition of trace conditioning, AHPs from aging rabbit CA1 neurons were reduced to a size that was similar to that observed in the young adult rabbits after learning (Table 1). This latter point is quite interesting because it suggests that a similar level of postsynaptic excitability must be attained for successful acquisition of trace conditioning, independent of the age of the animal. The actual differences between aging control and aging conditioned neurons were much greater than those between young control and young conditioned neurons (Table 1). That is, the aging neurons had to change more than the young adult neurons to achieve the same level of postsynaptic excitability (e.g., similarly sized AHPs). The greater change required for an aging neuron to reach the conditioned state may partly underlie the need for aging rabbits to receive significantly more training trials to successfully learn the trace eyeblink conditioning task. These data provide strong support for a correlation between changes in postsynaptic excitability, learning, and aging-related learning deficits.

In the present study, rabbits were trained to a behavioral criterion of 60% CRs in an 80 trial session. When rabbits were trained to the more difficult criterion of 80% CRs, there were additional increases in CA1 postsynaptic excitability (Table 1). Although only one aging rabbit was able to reach 80% CRs, three of the four cells recorded from this aging rabbit had reduced AHPs, and the mean amplitude was basically the same as those trained to a criterion of 60% CRs (−3.06 ± 0.5 vs. −3.01 ± 0.2 mV, respectively). The data from young adult rabbits indicated that, when trained to a criterion of 80% CRs, their CA1 neurons had a mean AHP amplitude that was only slightly smaller than those trained to a criterion of 60% CRs (−2.5 ± 0.3 compared with −3.02 ± 0.2 mV, respectively). Similarly, there was a slight change in accommodation as a result of using a behavioral criterion of 80% CRs. On average, in both age groups, training to a criterion of 80% CRs resulted in an increase of approximately one action potential during accommodation versus that seen when rabbits were trained to a 60% criterion (Table 1). Interestingly, there were no statistically significant differences in AHP amplitude, AHP area, AHP duration, or accommodation between young or aging CA1 neurons from either trace-conditioned group. When compared with data from a previous study in which young adult rabbits were trained to a criterion of 80% CRs, the AHP and accommodation data observed 24 hr after acquisition (Moyer et al., 1996) were similar to the data obtained in young adult CA1 neurons after conditioning to 80% CRs in the present study. These data suggest that the AHP reductions were nearly maximal when aged rabbits were trained to a behavioral criterion of 60% CRs. However, in young adult rabbits, further reductions of the AHP occurred with additional training to 80% CRs (Table 1).

Additional support for involvement of changes in postsynaptic excitability of hippocampal CA1 neurons with learning and aging comes from studies in which compounds that reduce both the AHP and accommodation were given to young adult or aging animals.
For example, administration of the L-type calcium channel antagonist nimodipine facilitates acquisition of trace eyeblink conditioning in aging rabbits (Deyo et al., 1989; Straube et al., 1990; Kowalska and Disterhoft, 1994), and nanomolar concentrations of nimodipine reduce both the AHP and accommodation in aging rabbit CA1 neurons in vitro (Moyer et al., 1992). Similar effects have also been observed in aging rabbits treated with cholinesterase inhibitors and muscarinic agonists (Kronforst-Collins et al., 1997; Oh et al., 1999; Weiss et al., 2000). Compounds that directly enhance the postburst AHP have not been tested in eyeblink conditioning, but the aforementioned data suggest that such drugs would likely impair learning.

Increased postsynaptic excitability appears to be one mechanism used by hippocampal neurons in both young and aging animals for acquisition of trace eyeblink conditioning. Previous data from young rabbits demonstrated that changes in hippocampal excitability were transient, lasting 5–7 d after acquisition to a criterion of 80% CRs in a session (Moyer et al., 1996; Thompson et al., 1996b). Although in the present study postsynaptic excitability of aging rabbit CA1 neurons was similar to young neurons after learning, it is unknown whether the increased excitability seen in aging neurons would last as long as those seen in young adult neurons. The current study was not designed to address this issue, but data from aging rats suggest that memory consolidation (Oler and Markus, 1998) and information processing (Barnes et al., 1997; Tanila et al., 1997) are significantly impaired in aging rats.

In conclusion, the present study is the first to report learning-related excitability changes in aging CA1 neurons. These data provide additional support for the hypothesis that alterations in postsynaptic excitability are involved in both aging and associative learning.
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