

# **SVMs with Slack**

Nicholas Ruoizzi

University of Texas at Dallas

# Primal SVM

$$\min_{w,b} \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2$$

such that

$$y_i (w^T x^{(i)} + b) \geq 1, \text{ for all } i$$

- Note that Slater's condition holds as long as the data is linearly separable

# Dual SVM

$$\max_{\lambda \geq 0} -\frac{1}{2} \sum_i \sum_j \lambda_i \lambda_j y_i y_j x^{(i)T} x^{(j)} + \sum_i \lambda_i$$

such that

$$\sum_i \lambda_i y_i = 0$$

- The dual formulation only depends on inner products between the data points
  - Same thing is true if we use feature vectors instead

# The Kernel Trick

- For some feature vectors, we can compute the inner products quickly, even if the feature vectors are very large
- This is best illustrated by example

$$\text{– Let } \phi(x_1, x_2) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 x_2 \\ x_2 x_1 \\ x_1^2 \\ x_2^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{– } \phi(x_1, x_2)^T \phi(z_1, z_2) &= x_1^2 z_1^2 + 2x_1 x_2 z_1 z_2 + x_2^2 z_2^2 \\ &= (x_1 z_1 + x_2 z_2)^2 \\ &= (x^T z)^2 \end{aligned}$$

# The Kernel Trick

- For some feature vectors, we can compute the inner products quickly, even if the feature vectors are very large
- This is best illustrated by example

$$\text{-- Let } \phi(x_1, x_2) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 x_2 \\ x_2 x_1 \\ x_1^2 \\ x_2^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{-- } \phi(x_1, x_2)^T \phi(z_1, z_2) &= x_1^2 z_1^2 + 2x_1 x_2 z_1 z_2 + x_2^2 z_2^2 \\ &= (x_1 z_1 + x_2 z_2)^2 \\ &= (x^T z)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Reduces to a dot product in the original space

# The Kernel Trick

- The same idea can be applied for the feature vector  $\phi$  of all polynomials of degree (exactly)  $d$

$$- \phi(x)^T \phi(z) = (x^T z)^d$$

- More generally, a kernel is a function  $k(x, z) = \phi(x)^T \phi(z)$  for some feature map  $\phi$
- Rewrite the dual objective

$$\max_{\lambda \geq 0, \sum_i \lambda_i y_i = 0} -\frac{1}{2} \sum_i \sum_j \lambda_i \lambda_j y_i y_j k(x^{(i)}, x^{(j)}) + \sum_i \lambda_i$$

# Examples of Kernels

- Polynomial kernel of degree exactly  $d$

- $k(x, z) = (x^T z)^d$

- General polynomial kernel of degree  $d$  for some  $c$

- $k(x, z) = (x^T z + c)^d$

- Gaussian kernel for some  $\sigma$

- $k(x, z) = \exp\left(\frac{-\|x-z\|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$

- The corresponding  $\phi$  is infinite dimensional!

- So many more...

# Gaussian Kernels

- Consider the Gaussian kernel

$$\begin{aligned}\exp\left(\frac{-\|x - z\|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) &= \exp\left(\frac{-(x - z)^T(x - z)}{2\sigma^2}\right) \\ &= \exp\left(\frac{-\|x\|^2 + 2x^T z - \|z\|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \\ &= \exp(-\|x\|^2) \exp(-\|z\|^2) \exp\left(\frac{x^T z}{\sigma^2}\right)\end{aligned}$$

- Use the Taylor expansion for  $\exp()$

$$\exp\left(\frac{x^T z}{\sigma^2}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x^T z)^n}{\sigma^{2n} n!}$$



# Gaussian Kernels

- Consider the Gaussian kernel

$$\begin{aligned}\exp\left(\frac{-\|x - z\|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) &= \exp\left(\frac{-(x - z)^T(x - z)}{2\sigma^2}\right) \\ &= \exp\left(\frac{-\|x\|^2 + 2x^T z - \|z\|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \\ &= \exp(-\|x\|^2) \exp(-\|z\|^2) \exp\left(\frac{x^T z}{\sigma^2}\right)\end{aligned}$$

- Use the Taylor expansion for  $\exp()$

$$\exp\left(\frac{x^T z}{\sigma^2}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x^T z)^n}{\sigma^{2n} n!}$$

Polynomial kernels of every degree!

# Kernels

- Bigger feature space increases the possibility of overfitting
  - Large margin solutions should still generalize reasonably well
- Alternative: add “penalties” to the objective to disincentivize complicated solutions

$$\min_w \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 + c \cdot (\# \text{ of misclassifications})$$

- Not a quadratic program anymore (in fact, it’s NP-hard)
- Similar problem to Hamming loss, no notion of how badly the data is misclassified

# SVMs with Slack

- **Allow misclassification**
  - **Penalize misclassification linearly (just like in the perceptron algorithm)**
    - **Again, easier to work with than the Hamming loss**
    - **Objective stays convex**
  - **Will let us handle data that isn't linearly separable!**

# SVMs with Slack

$$\min_{w, b, \xi} \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 + c \sum_i \xi_i$$

such that

$$y_i (w^T x^{(i)} + b) \geq 1 - \xi_i, \text{ for all } i$$

$$\xi_i \geq 0, \text{ for all } i$$

# SVMs with Slack

$$\min_{w,b,\xi} \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 + c \sum_i \xi_i$$

such that

$$y_i(w^T x^{(i)} + b) \geq 1 - \xi_i, \text{ for all } i$$

$$\xi_i \geq 0, \text{ for all } i$$

Potentially allows some points to be misclassified/inside the margin

# SVMs with Slack

$$\min_{w,b,\xi} \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 + c \sum_i \xi_i$$

Constant  $c$  determines degree to which slack is penalized

such that

$$y_i (w^T x^{(i)} + b) \geq 1 - \xi_i, \text{ for all } i$$

$$\xi_i \geq 0, \text{ for all } i$$

# SVMs with Slack

$$\min_{w,b,\xi} \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 + c \sum_i \xi_i$$

such that

$$y_i(w^T x^{(i)} + b) \geq 1 - \xi_i, \text{ for all } i$$

$$\xi_i \geq 0, \text{ for all } i$$

- How does this objective change with  $c$ ?

# SVMs with Slack

$$\min_{w,b,\xi} \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 + c \sum_i \xi_i$$

such that

$$y_i(w^T x^{(i)} + b) \geq 1 - \xi_i, \text{ for all } i$$

$$\xi_i \geq 0, \text{ for all } i$$

- How does this objective change with  $c$ ?
  - As  $c \rightarrow \infty$ , requires a perfect classifier
  - As  $c \rightarrow 0$ , allows arbitrary classifiers (i.e., ignores the data)



# SVMs with Slack

$$\min_{w,b,\xi} \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 + c \sum_i \xi_i$$

such that

$$y_i(w^T x^{(i)} + b) \geq 1 - \xi_i, \text{ for all } i$$

$$\xi_i \geq 0, \text{ for all } i$$

- How should we pick  $c$ ?

# SVMs with Slack

$$\min_{w,b,\xi} \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 + c \sum_i \xi_i$$

such that

$$y_i(w^T x^{(i)} + b) \geq 1 - \xi_i, \text{ for all } i$$

$$\xi_i \geq 0, \text{ for all } i$$

- How should we pick  $c$ ?
  - Divide the data into three pieces training, testing, and **validation**
  - Use the validation set to tune the value of the **hyperparameter**  $c$

# SVMs with Slack

- What is the optimal value of  $\xi$  for fixed  $w$  and  $b$ ?
  - If  $y_i(w^T x^{(i)} + b) \geq 1$ , then  $\xi_i = 0$
  - If  $y_i(w^T x^{(i)} + b) < 1$ , then  $\xi_i = 1 - y_i(w^T x^{(i)} + b)$

# SVMs with Slack

- What is the optimal value of  $\xi$  for fixed  $w$  and  $b$ ?
  - If  $y_i(w^T x^{(i)} + b) \geq 1$ , then  $\xi_i = 0$
  - If  $y_i(w^T x^{(i)} + b) < 1$ , then  $\xi_i = 1 - y_i(w^T x^{(i)} + b)$
- We can formulate this slightly differently
  - $\xi_i = \max\{0, 1 - y_i(w^T x^{(i)} + b)\}$
  - Does this look familiar?
  - Hinge loss provides an upper bound on Hamming loss

# Hinge Loss Formulation

- Obtain a new objective by substituting in for  $\xi$

$$\min_{w,b} \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 + c \sum_i \max\{0, 1 - y_i(w^T x^{(i)} + b)\}$$

Can minimize with gradient descent!

# Hinge Loss Formulation

- Obtain a new objective by substituting in for  $\xi$

$$\min_{w,b} \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2}_{\text{Penalty to prevent overfitting}} + c \underbrace{\sum_i \max\{0, 1 - y_i(w^T x^{(i)} + b)\}}_{\text{Hinge loss}}$$

Penalty to prevent  
overfitting

Hinge loss

# Hinge Loss Formulation

- Obtain a new objective by substituting in for  $\xi$

$$\min_{w,b} \underbrace{\frac{\lambda}{2} \|w\|^2}_{\text{Regularizer}} + c \underbrace{\sum_i \max\{0, 1 - y_i(w^T x^{(i)} + b)\}}_{\text{Hinge loss}}$$

Regularizer

Hinge loss

$\lambda$  controls the amount of regularization

How should we pick  $\lambda$ ?

# Imbalanced Data

- If the data is imbalanced (i.e., more positive examples than negative examples), may want to evenly distribute the error between the two classes

$$\min_{w,b,\xi} \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 + \frac{c}{N_+} \sum_{i:y_i=1} \xi_i + \frac{c}{N_-} \sum_{i:y_i=-1} \xi_i$$

such that

$$y_i (w^T x^{(i)} + b) \geq 1 - \xi_i, \text{ for all } i$$

$$\xi_i \geq 0, \text{ for all } i$$



# Dual of Slack Formulation

$$\min_{w,b,\xi} \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 + c \sum_i \xi_i$$

such that

$$y_i(w^T x^{(i)} + b) \geq 1 - \xi_i, \text{ for all } i$$

$$\xi_i \geq 0, \text{ for all } i$$

# Dual of Slack Formulation

$$L(w, b, \xi, \lambda, \mu) = \frac{1}{2} w^T w + c \sum_i \xi_i + \sum_i \lambda_i (1 - \xi_i - y_i (w^T x^{(i)} + b)) + \sum_i -\mu_i \xi_i$$

Convex in  $w, b, \xi$ , so take derivatives to form the dual

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_k} = w_k + \sum_i -\lambda_i y_i x_k^{(i)} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial b} = \sum_i -\lambda_i y_i = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \xi_k} = c - \lambda_k - \mu_k = 0$$

# Dual of Slack Formulation

$$\max_{\lambda \geq 0} -\frac{1}{2} \sum_i \sum_j \lambda_i \lambda_j y_i y_j x^{(i)T} x^{(j)} + \sum_i \lambda_i$$

such that

$$\sum_i \lambda_i y_i = 0$$

$$c \geq \lambda_i \geq 0, \text{ for all } i$$

# Summary

- **Gather Data + Labels**
  - Randomly split into three groups
    - Training set
    - Validation set
    - Test set
- **Construct features vectors**
- **Experimentation cycle**
  - Select a “good” hypothesis from the hypothesis space
  - Tune hyperparameters using validation set
  - Compute accuracy on test set (fraction of correctly classified instances)

# Generalization

- We argued, intuitively, that SVMs generalize better than the perceptron algorithm
  - How can we make this precise?
  - Coming soon... but first...

# Roadmap

- **Where are we headed?**
  - **Other types of hypothesis spaces for supervised learning**
    - **k nearest neighbor**
    - **Decision trees**
  - **Learning theory**
    - **Generalization and PAC bounds**
    - **VC dimension**
    - **Bias/variance tradeoff**