

#### **Bayesian Methods**

### Nicholas Ruozzi University of Texas at Dallas

based on the slides of Vibhav Gogate

### **Binary Variables**



• Coin flipping: heads=1, tails=0 with bias  $\mu$ 

$$p(X=1|\mu)=\mu$$

Bernoulli Distribution

$$Bern(x|\mu) = \mu^{x} \cdot (1-\mu)^{1-x}$$
$$E[X] = \mu$$
$$var(X) = \mu \cdot (1-\mu)$$

### **Binary Variables**



• *N* coin flips:  $X_1, \ldots, X_N$ 

$$p(\sum_{i} X_{i} = m | N, \mu) = \binom{N}{m} \mu^{m} (1 - \mu)^{N-m}$$

Binomial Distribution

$$Bin(m|N,\mu) = {\binom{N}{m}} \mu^m (1-\mu)^{N-m}$$
$$E\left[\sum_i X_i\right] = N\mu$$
$$var\left[\sum_i X_i\right] = N\mu(1-\mu)$$

#### **Binomial Distribution**





### Estimating the Bias of a Coin



- Suppose that we have a coin, and we would like to figure out what the probability is that it will flip up heads
  - How should we estimate the bias?

### Estimating the Bias of a Coin



- Suppose that we have a coin, and we would like to figure out what the probability is that it will flip up heads
  - How should we estimate the bias?



• With these coin flips, our estimate of the bias is: ?

### Estimating the Bias of a Coin



- Suppose that we have a coin, and we would like to figure out what the probability is that it will flip up heads
  - How should we estimate the bias?



- With these coin flips, our estimate of the bias is: 3/5
  - Why is this a good estimate?

# Coin Flipping – Binomial Distribution





- $P(Heads) = \theta$ ,  $P(Tails) = 1 \theta$
- Flips are i.i.d.
  - Independent events
  - Identically distributed according to Binomial distribution
- Our training data consists of  $\alpha_H$  heads and  $\alpha_T$  tails

$$p(D|\theta) = \theta^{\alpha_H} \cdot (1-\theta)^{\alpha_T}$$

# Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE)

- **Data:** Observed set of  $\alpha_H$  heads and  $\alpha_T$  tails
- Hypothesis: Coin flips follow a Bernoulli distribution
- Learning: Find the "best"  $\theta$
- MLE: Choose  $\theta$  to maximize probability of *D* given  $\theta$

$$\widehat{\theta} = \arg \max_{\substack{\theta \\ \theta}} P(\mathcal{D} \mid \theta)$$
$$= \arg \max_{\substack{\theta \\ \theta}} \ln P(\mathcal{D} \mid \theta)$$

#### First Parameter Learning Algorithm



$$\widehat{\theta} = \arg \max_{\substack{\theta \\ \theta}} \ln P(\mathcal{D} \mid \theta)$$
$$= \arg \max_{\substack{\theta \\ \theta}} \ln \theta^{\alpha_{H}} (1 - \theta)^{\alpha_{T}}$$

Set derivative to zero, and solve!

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \ln P(\mathcal{D} \mid \theta) = \frac{d}{d\theta} \left[ \ln \theta^{\alpha_H} (1 - \theta)^{\alpha_T} \right]$$
$$= \frac{d}{d\theta} \left[ \alpha_H \ln \theta + \alpha_T \ln(1 - \theta) \right]$$
$$= \alpha_H \frac{d}{d\theta} \ln \theta + \alpha_T \frac{d}{d\theta} \ln(1 - \theta)$$
$$= \frac{\alpha_H}{\theta} - \frac{\alpha_T}{1 - \theta} = 0$$

#### First Parameter Learning Algorithm



$$\widehat{\theta} = \arg \max_{\substack{\theta \\ \theta}} \ln P(\mathcal{D} \mid \theta)$$
$$= \arg \max_{\substack{\theta \\ \theta}} \ln \theta^{\alpha_{H}} (1 - \theta)^{\alpha_{T}}$$

Set derivative to zero, and solve!

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \ln P(\mathcal{D} \mid \theta) = \frac{d}{d\theta} \left[ \ln \theta^{\alpha_H} (1 - \theta)^{\alpha_T} \right] \\
= \frac{d}{d\theta} \left[ \alpha_H \ln \theta + \alpha_T \ln(1 - \theta) \right] \\
= \alpha_H \frac{d}{d\theta} \ln \theta + \alpha_T \frac{d}{d\theta} \ln(1 - \theta) \\
= \frac{\alpha_H}{\theta} - \frac{\alpha_T}{1 - \theta} = 0 \qquad \widehat{\theta}_{MLE} = \frac{\alpha_H}{\alpha_H + \alpha_T}$$

### Coin Flip MLE









- Suppose we have 5 coin flips all of which are heads
  - Our estimate of the bias is?





- Suppose we have 5 coin flips all of which are heads
  - MLE would give  $\theta_{MLE} = 1$
  - This event occurs with probability  $\frac{1}{2^5} = \frac{1}{32}$  for a fair coin
  - Are we willing to commit to such a strong conclusion with such little evidence?



- Priors are a Bayesian mechanism that allow us to take into account "prior" knowledge about our belief in the outcome
- Rather than estimating a single  $\theta$ , consider a distribution over possible values of  $\theta$  given the data
  - Update our prior after seeing data



### **Bayesian Learning**





- Or equivalently:  $p(\theta|D) \propto p(D|\theta)p(\theta)$
- For uniform priors this reduces to the MLE objective

 $p(\theta) \propto 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad p(\theta|D) \propto p(D|\theta)$ 

# **Picking Priors**



- How do we pick a good prior distribution?
  - Could represent expert domain knowledge
  - Statisticians choose them to make the posterior distribution "nice" (conjugate priors)
- What is a good prior for the bias in the coin flipping problem?

# **Picking Priors**



- How do we pick a good prior distribution?
  - Could represent expert domain knowledge
  - Statisticians choose them to make the posterior distribution "nice" (conjugate priors)
- What is a good prior for the bias in the coin flipping problem?
  - Truncated Gaussian (tough to work with)
  - Beta distribution (works well for binary random variables)

### **Coin Flips with Beta Distribution**







$$P(\theta \mid \mathcal{D}) \propto \theta^{\alpha_{H}} (1-\theta)^{\alpha_{T}} \theta^{\beta_{H}-1} (1-\theta)^{\beta_{T}-1}$$
  
=  $\theta^{\alpha_{H}+\beta_{H}-1} (1-\theta)^{\alpha_{T}+\beta_{T}-1}$   
=  $Beta(\alpha_{H}+\beta_{H}, \alpha_{T}+\beta_{T})$ 



• Choosing  $\theta$  to maximize the posterior distribution is called maximum a posteriori (MAP) estimation

$$\theta_{MAP} = \arg\max_{\theta} p(\theta|D)$$

• The only difference between  $\theta_{MLE}$  and  $\theta_{MAP}$  is that one assumes a uniform prior (MLE) and the other allows an arbitrary prior





- Suppose we have 5 coin flips all of which are heads
  - MLE would give  $\theta_{MLE} = 1$
  - MLE with a Beta(2,2) prior gives  $\theta_{MAP} = \frac{6}{7} \approx .857$
  - As we see more data, the effect of the prior diminishes

• 
$$\theta_{MAP} = \frac{\alpha_H + \beta_H - 1}{\alpha_H + \beta_H + \alpha_T + \beta_T - 2} \approx \frac{\alpha_H}{\alpha_H + \alpha_T}$$
 for large # of observations



- How many coin flips do we need in order to guarantee that our learned parameter does not differ too much from the true parameter (with high probability)?
- Can use Chernoff bound (again!)
  - Suppose  $Y_1, ..., Y_N$  are i.i.d. random variables taking values in  $\{0, 1\}$  such that  $E_p[Y_i] = y$ . For  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$p\left(\left|y - \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i}Y_{i}\right| \ge \epsilon\right) \le 2e^{-2N\epsilon^{2}}$$



- How many coin flips do we need in order to guarantee that our learned parameter does not differ too much from the true parameter (with high probability)?
- Can use Chernoff bound (again!)
  - For the coin flipping problem with  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  iid coin flips and  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$p\left(\left|\theta_{true} - \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i}X_{i}\right| \geq \epsilon\right) \leq 2e^{-2N\epsilon^{2}}$$



- How many coin flips do we need in order to guarantee that our learned parameter does not differ too much from the true parameter (with high probability)?
- Can use Chernoff bound (again!)
  - For the coin flipping problem with  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  iid coin flips and  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$p(|\theta_{true} - \theta_{MLE}| \ge \epsilon) \le 2e^{-2N\epsilon^2}$$



- How many coin flips do we need in order to guarantee that our learned parameter does not differ too much from the true parameter (with high probability)?
- Can use Chernoff bound (again!)
  - For the coin flipping problem with  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  iid coin flips and  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$p(|\theta_{true} - \theta_{MLE}| \ge \epsilon) \le 2e^{-2N\epsilon^2}$$
$$\delta \ge 2e^{-2N\epsilon^2} \Rightarrow N \ge \frac{1}{2\epsilon^2} \ln \frac{2}{\delta}$$